



New Zealand Gazette

OF THURSDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 1994

WELLINGTON: MONDAY, 28 NOVEMBER 1994 — ISSUE NO. 126

OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED
BOUNDARIES AND CLASSIFICATIONS
OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS
OF NEW ZEALAND

**Objections to Proposed Boundaries and Classification
of Electoral Districts of New Zealand**

The Representation Commission appointed pursuant to section 28 of the Electoral Act 1993 hereby publishes, in accordance with the provisions of section 38 of that Act, a summary of the objections lodged with the Commission to the proposed boundaries and classification of the electoral districts, as set out in the Schedule hereto.

The objections are available for public inspection at the offices of the Department of Survey and Land Information at Auckland, Hamilton, Gisborne, Napier, New Plymouth, Nelson, Blenheim, Hokitika, Christchurch, Dunedin and Invercargill and at the Chief Electoral Office, *see below for address*, from 28 November 1994 until 4.00pm on Tuesday 13 December 1994.

The Representation Commission will receive written counter-objections to those objections, or any of them, if in the hands of the Commission no later than 4.00pm on Tuesday 13 December 1994.

Counter-objections may be lodged either:

- | | |
|------------------------|--|
| by post addressed to | The Secretary
Representation Commission
PO Box 3220
Wellington |
| or by Facsimile to | Facsimile Number (04) 495 0031
<i>provided</i> the original is posted the same day. |
| or by hand delivery to | Chief Electoral Office
Level 1
Seabridge House
110 Featherston Street
Wellington |

All counter-objections are requested to clearly state:

- (i) the name, address and telephone contact number (day and night) of the counter-objector,
- (ii) the electorate(s) to which the counter-objection applies,
- (iii) the specific objection(s) the counter-objection applies to, using where possible the objection numbers allocated by the Commission,
- (iv) the grounds of the counter-objection.
- (v) the solution suggested by the counter-objector

The Commission requests that counter-objections indicate whether they wish to speak briefly to their counter-objections before meetings of the Commission to be held in Wellington, Christchurch, Auckland, Dunedin and possibly elsewhere from 16 January 1995.

Full details of each counter-objection must be in writing. Whether or not a counter-objector is heard his or her written counter-objection will be fully considered. However late counter-objections cannot be considered.

A separate counter-objection should be filed in respect of each separate objection which you oppose.

A suggested format for counter-objections is available at the Place of Inspection.

Dated this 23rd day of November 1994.

Judge FWM McElrea, Chairman of the Representation Commission.

Schedule

Note: Where the Schedule states "similar to objection number" that objection will be found under the same electoral heading unless a different electorate is stated:

e.g., electorate **000 Electorate Name**

Objection 0000 A.N. Other

See Objection 00000 Electorate Name

01 Northland**Objection 495 Tutukaka Coast Residents and Ratepayers Association**

Objects to the inclusion of the Tutukaka Coast in the proposed Northland electorate. The Tutukaka Coast is basically a dormitory suburb for the Whangarei industrial and commercial area. The residents' community of interest is to Whangarei and share more in common with Whangarei than do residents of the Maungaturoto or Wellsford.

Suggested solution The northern boundary of the Whangarei electorate should be extended to Woolley Bay, along the road to the headwaters of the Ngunguru River, down the river through the forest and either follow the Mangahuru Stream or a forestry road to take the boundary back to State Highway 1 on one or other side of Kauri

Objection 767 J A Cox

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Northland electorate. The areas of Ruawai, Matakohe, Ararua and Paparoa have no community of interest nor share any allegiance with the Far North communities. Their community of interest is with Whangarei

Suggested solution The northern Whangarei boundary should come across south of Kamo, follow State Highway 14 to Tangowahine, then follow the northern Wairoa River to south of Dargaville and continue straight across to the coast.

Objection 07 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Northland on the grounds that as Maori are the first people of this land they have a right to apply their names to all places. Non Maori names are inappropriate. Maori is also the official language of this country meaning there is a legal obligation to use the original names of this land.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Te Rerenga Wairua because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

02 Whangarei**Objection 397 J B Harrison**

Objects to the exclusion of Pataua North from the Whangarei electorate as Whangarei is the main centre for Pataua.

Suggested solution The whole of Pataua Village should be in the Whangarei electorate.

03 Rodney**Objection 64 P Buckton**

Objects to the size of the electorate on the basis that Rodney is far too big and Albany is too small.

Suggested solution None given.

Objection 349 Sir G Mason

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Rodney electorate.

Suggested solution The northern boundary should include the northern boundary of the Rodney District Council, which includes Wellsford. The Dairy Flats, Redvale and Coatesville Districts have always been aligned with the Albany area and should remain there, because of the natural community of interests.

Objection 496 B Struyck

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Rodney electorate as the community of Coatesville is split. The community of Coatesville and settlement of Okura are very much part of the Albany community and should remain there.

Suggested solution The natural boundary in the north should be the Wade River. Okura is serviced from the East Coast Bays, while north of the Wade River looks to Oweria in the north.

Objection 01 P Buckton

Objects to the proposed name of Rodney which for historical reasons should be named Kaipara electorate.

Objection 08 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Rodney on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland..

Suggested solution To call the electorate Whenuapai because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

04 Albany**Objection 277 B & M Carley**

Objects to the boundary drawn through subdivision in the West Harbour area and also the inclusion of the area south of the Greenhithe bridge into the proposed Albany electorate. Claims this area shares a community of interest with West Auckland,

focussing on Henderson, rather than with the North Shore area, which is separated by the Upper Harbour.

Suggested solution The boundary should follow the topographic line provided by the Upper Harbour, or failing that, should continue along Hobsonville Road to the Harbour at Duke Park.

Objection 350 N Young

Objects to the inclusion of the north-western side of Hobsonville Road in the proposed Albany electorate. Also North Shore City and Waitakere City are divided by an expanse of water

Suggested solution This area should be included in the Waitakere electorate on the grounds of community of interest..

Objection 497 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries between the Albany and Waitakere electorate on the grounds that it is inappropriate in terms of community of interest and topographical criteria.

Suggested solution That the Albany/Waitakere boundary utilise the Luckens Road area.

Objection 498 R G Vant

Objects to the proposed boundaries between North Harbour and Pupuke electorates and between Albany and Pupuke. The boundaries from Shoal Bay to the Sunnynook Road-Motorway junction follow features that are obscure.

Suggested solution The boundaries proceed entirely along the motorway, which is a clearly-defined and a very visible topographical feature and a natural boundary between the local communities of interest. Suggests boundaries consist variously of some reserve, the Onewa Domain, the A F Thomas Park, Archers Road, around Currys Lane and the commercial area around Link Drive. Consider continuing the motorway-based boundary to the junction of the motorway with the Upper Harbour Motorway-Constellation Drive, and thence roughly eastward along Constellation Drive to join the proposed boundary along East Coast Bays Road.

Objection 499 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the new Albany electorate. The boundary does not best reflect the community of interest that that forms part of the Glenfield in the proposed Albany electorate has with the remainder of Glenfield in the proposed North Harbour electorate.

Suggested solution The Area Units of Glendu and Witheford be transferred to the North Harbour electorate.

Objection 500 R Graves

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the new Albany electorate. The two areas of Upper Glenfield and Sunnynook are split. These are strongly linked communities with the only local shopping and community facilities being in Sunnynook.

Suggested solution The proposed boundary should run along Sunset Road to Glenfield Road, down on to Wairau Road creating two natural catchments, one facing north to Albany the other Upper Glenfield facing south to Pupuke.

Objection 768 K Abraham, Chairperson, KareKare Residents & Ratepayers Association

Objects to the proposed boundary of Albany on the grounds the criteria of communities of interest and topographical features are not met. Hobsonville and Whenuapai are closely linked to Massey/West Harbour in that they are areas of urban development and growth in the Upper Waitemata Harbour area. The bases of the RNZAF have had a long association with Waitakere City.

Suggested solution Align the Waitemata boundary to the territorial local authority to include Whenuapai, Herald Island and Hobsonville up to the Greenhithe Bridge.

Objection 769 Waitakere City Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries for the Albany electorate. The communities of Hobsonville and Whenuapai are closely linked to the communities of Massey/West Harbour. RNZAF Whenuapai and Hobsonville bases have had a long association with Waitakere City.

Suggested solution The Waitemata boundary be aligned to that of the territorial local authority. The Waitemata electorate should include Whenuapai, Herald Island and Hobsonville up to the Greenhithe Bridge. It is noted this suggestion exceeds the quota tolerance.

Objection 09 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Albany on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Okahukura because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

05 North Harbour**Objection 502 The New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of North Harbour,

Albany and Pupuke electorates. See Objection 499 (04) Albany for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Suggested solution The area generally encompassed by the Statistical Area Units of Glendu and Witheford be transferred to the North Harbour electorate.

Objection 503 R G Vant

Objects to the proposed boundaries between North Harbour and Pupuke electorates and between Albany and Pupuke. See Objection 498(4) Albany for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 10 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of North Harbour on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Onewa because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

Objection 278 J S Macdonald

Objects to the proposed name of North Harbour.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as West Harbour. No grounds given.

Objection 398 Sport North Harbour

Objects to the proposed name of North Harbour on the grounds that North Harbour is synonymous with the sporting province which stretches from the Auckland Harbour Bridge to Dome Valley, north of Warkworth. It is a widely understood regional description and is not an appropriate name for an electorate.

Suggested solution None given.

Objection 501 A Millar & 10 other signatories

Similar to first two sentences of Objection 398. There is likely to be confusion if an area to the south based on Birkenhead, is known as North Harbour. The name Birkenhead is of historical significance.

Suggested solution Retain the name Birkenhead.

Objection 504 R J Finlayson

Similar to first two sentences of Objection 398. North Harbour is a newly emerging name for the whole of the area north of the Harbour Bridge, stretching right up to and including Rodney. It would be confusing to have an electorate which is only a small portion of the catchment called North Harbour.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Northbridge.

06 Pupuke**Objection 505 J Finlayson & 4 signatories**

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the new Pupuke electorate on the grounds of community of interest are not met. Mairangi Bay should be included in the Albany electorate.

Suggested solution From a geographical point of view a shift of the boundary to Kowhai Road makes sense by including both sides of the road, which leaves the Pupuke Golf Course as a natural boundary between the Albany and Pupuke electorates. Further, the western Pupuke electorate boundary should run across the motorway via Sunset Road, then down Glenfield Road on to Wairau Road.

Objection 506 R G Vant

Objects to the proposed boundaries between North Harbour and Pupuke electorates and between Albany and Pupuke. See Objection 498 (04) Albany for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 507 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of Pupuke, Albany and North Harbour electorates. See Objection 499 (04) Albany for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 508 R Graves

Objects to proposed boundaries of the Pupuke electorate. See Objection 500 (04) Albany for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 249 Hon G F Gair

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke on the grounds that the new electorate comprises all of the present North Shore Electorate and part of the present East Coast Bays Electorate. There has been a long history of use of the name 'North Shore' (for almost 50 years) and there is little use of the name 'Pupuke'. There is no suburb called Pupuke, no school, no hospital and no shopping centre. The administrative heart of North Shore City is in the commercial centre of Takapuna, which is also the geographical centre of the new electorate.

Suggested solution To call the electorate North Shore because it is a well known name, has a strong historical significance in the area, and comprises all of the present North Shore Electorate.

Objection 250 J Hayes

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate North Shore as that is a name everyone in New Zealand knows.

Objection 251 G S Rennell

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as North Shore as a change in name will cause confusion.

Objection 353 E G Eaglen

Similar to Objection 251. The boundaries of the new electorate are substantially the same as the present North Shore electorate and the character is unchanged.

Objection 279 J S Macdonald

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution To call the electorate North Shore on the grounds that the name Pupuke has little or no relevance to the vast majority of people. Lake Pupuke as a feature is not well known.

Objection 280 T D & G Wright

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as North Shore as this name has been recognised and accepted for nearly 40 years by the majority of the people in the area.

Objection 281 D & M Scott

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke. Electorate should be known as North Shore as Lake Pupuke is not a feature which the majority of residents identify with.

Objection 282 B Brant

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate North Shore on the grounds of long term residency within the area.

Objection 283 S J Collins

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as North Shore. No grounds given

Objection 303 J Twomey

Similar to Objection 283.

Objection 306 J Mackley

Similar to Objection 283.

Objection 352 E Barton

Similar to Objection 283.

Objection 354 M L & J W Winefield

Similar to Objection 283.

Objection 284 R J Appleton

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as North Shore as this name is familiar to millions of people in New Zealand and overseas.

Objection 285 R McGrath

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as North Shore so as to preserve that identity.

Objection 286 W M Tombs

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke on the grounds that the name North Shore has existed for a very long time.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as North Shore.

Objection 304 H F Cliffe

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution To call the electorate North Shore as this name explains and describes the whole area very efficiently, the area being practically surrounded by sea shores north of Auckland City. Pupuke is a small lake of very little significance.

Objection 305 J Sutherland & 2 other signatories

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate North Shore as the electorate has been known by that name for many years. The name embraces a large area whereas Pupuke implies the lake area only.

Objection 351 M Morgan

Similar to Objection 305.

Objection 399 L & E Harrison-Lee

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke. The name North Shore is of historical importance. Pupuke on the other hand is remote and lacks identity and focus.

Suggested solution Re-name the electorate North Shore.

Objection 400 R M, C G & G M Ashbridge

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke. Similar to Objection 399.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate North Shore.

Objection 440 I A Titchener

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke on the grounds that it is an awful name.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as North Shore.

Objection 770 Hon. B Cliffe MP

Objects to the proposed name of Pupuke on the grounds that many people don't identify Pupuke with the North Shore area. The historical North Shore area comprises largely the area named in the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution To call the electorate North Shore.

Objection 771 J W Winefield

Similar to Objection 283

Objection 772 E Jolly

Similar to Objection 283

07 Waitakere**Objection 307 J Williams**

Objects to the proposed boundaries for the Waitakere electorate on the grounds that the proposed boundary cuts a community in two and probably bisects houses.

Suggested solution The boundary that extends through Brighams Creek should extend around Herald Island and connect up with the boundary line that extends through Waitemata Harbour to Henderson Creek. This would take in Whenuapai, Herald Island

and Hobsonville. This is a natural boundary and is the boundary for Waitakere City.

Objection 401 D K Lilly

Similar to Objection 307. Objects to dividing the interests of Whenuapai and Herald Island from the interests of Waitakere.

Suggested solution If Hobsonville Road is to be the dividing line it should be continued to follow along Upper Harbour Drive to the bridge and there should be no link whatsoever with Albany.

Objection 402 Rev M Cottle

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitakere electorate on the grounds of community of interest. The proposed electorate also does not reflect any geographical or sociological flows in the area.

Suggested solution The Waitakere/Waitemata County should consist of Great North Road from Waikumete Cemetery to View Road. Down View Road to the railway line in Railside Avenue. Follow the railway line until it reaches the current proposed boundary and turn right. Follow the current proposed boundary until Don Buck Road. The boundary then goes up Don Buck Road.

Objection 441 B Whorskey

Objects to the exclusion of part of Glen Eden and the inclusion of Sunnyvale and McLaren Park in the proposed Waitakere electorate.

Suggested solution Great North Road could be used as a natural eastern boundary of the proposed Waitakere electorate. As permitted by population all of Glen Eden, Sunnyvale and McLaren Park be in the Waitakere electorate while Massey and West Harbour areas are more logically in the proposed Waitemata electorate.

Objection 442 P S& Y A Somers

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitakere electorate on the grounds that the suburb of Glen Eden has been split in a way that cuts right through its community of interest. Sunnyvale and McLaren Park have been put into an electorate with which they do not share a community of interest. Western Heights is closer to the Waitakere Ranges and relates to Ranui and Henderson Valley but not to Te Atatu North and South. The areas of Massey and West Harbour do not fit well into a Waitakere electorate because the important community links are not there.

Suggested solution The boundary line should go from Glenview Road along Great North Road to View Road. Along Swanson Road

to Don Buck Road then to the Rodney boundary. This includes Glen Eden but excludes the majority of Massey West, all of Massey East and West Harbour.

Objection 510 B Swete

Objections to parts of Glen Eden-Sunnyvale being transferred to the Waitemata electorate to be replaced by areas of Massey, West Harbour etc. This does not appear to be based on the question of population and goes against the community of interest.

Suggested solution A return to something nearer the original boundaries of these areas would more nearly reflect the community and the social mix without upsetting the population requirement.

Objection 511 J A Adam

Similar to Objection 307

Objection 513 A K Hartnett

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitakere, Waitemata and New Lynn electorates on the grounds Great North Road is not used as one of the boundaries resulting in communities being split and whole suburbs placed in the wrong electorates.

Suggested solution Commencing at the top of Waikumete Hill follow Great North Road to View Road, west to the railway, along the railway to Ranui (and if further population is needed) follow Don Buck Road, to Red Hills Road, move west around Swanson, to the west coast at Bethells Beach.

Objection 514 J Kearney

Similar to Objection 307

Objection 516 A & V M Lake

Objects to the proposed Waitakere boundary change on the grounds of community of interest. Similar to Objection 307.

Objection 517 A & V M Lake

Similar to Objection 307

Objection 518 G C Sawenson

As for Objection 307. To compensate for numbers it is suggested that Ranui be included in the Waitemata electorate since Ranui relates directly to Lincoln and to the Henderson shopping area and its community of interest lies more with Henderson than it does with the Waitakere electorate.

Suggested solution See Objection 307. Plus the areas for Ranui bounded by Birdwood and Don Buck Roads be included in Waitemata.

Objection 519 P Lowndes

Objects to the exclusion of Herald Island from the proposed Waitakere electorate on the grounds that Herald Island has a community of interest with Waitakere City.

Suggested solution The northern boundary should be at the Greenhithe Bridge.

Objection 520 T J Lowndes

Similar to Objection 519

Suggested solution The upper Waitemata Harbour be the boundary between the Albany and Waitakere electorates to include Herald Island in Waitakere.

Objection 521 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitakere electorate on the grounds that the suburb of Glen Eden has been split inappropriately and that the boundary between the proposed Albany electorate and Waitakere is inappropriate in terms of community of interest and topographical features. That Titirangi is split from South Titirangi.

Suggested solution That the West Coast Road be utilised as an appropriate southern boundary of the proposed Waitemata electorate from the Parris Cross Road intersection to the Pleasant Road intersection. Kelston be transferred to the Waitemata electorate using a boundary in the Archibald area. South Titirangi be transferred to the proposed New Lynn electorate by extending the proposed boundary at Titirangi Road to the South Titirangi Reserve and thence to Little Muddy Creek. That the Albany/Waitakere boundary utilise the Luckens Road area.

Objection 773 Waitakere City Council

Objection to the proposed boundary of the Waitemata and Waitakere electorates. See Objection 779 (08) Waitemata for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 774 G Langstone

Objection and solution similar to Objection 307. If the numbers are too great to great to include the whole of the above area, then align the boundary down State Highway 16 through Brighams Creek Road around the Airforce housing area leaving it in the southern part of the Albany electorate, continue down Brighams Creek Road to Hobsonville Road to Clarke Road is suggested.

Further, to eliminate splitting communities and houses in the Wisely Road area the boundary should continue the line down Hobsonville Road and either down Clarke Road or through Hobsonville War Memorial Park and into the Waitemata Harbour. This move would allow the urban area of Hobsonville to be separate from the Whenuapai/Herald Island area.

Objection 775 G Strawbridge

Similar to Objection 307

Objection 512 B Hanson Chairperson Waitakere Community Board

Objects to the proposed boundary of the Waitemata and Waitakere electorates. See Objection 779 (08) Waitemata for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 776 K Abraham, Chairperson, KareKare Residents & Ratepayers Association

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitakere and Waitemata electorates on the grounds of communities of interest and topographical feature.

Suggested solution Consideration should be given to a boundary drawn along Don Buck Road until intersection of Red Hills Road, follow Red Hills Road to current territorial local authority.

Objection 515 J Elder MP

Objects to the proposed name of Waitakere on the grounds that it is bad in principle to give the same name to electorates as the local authority which the parliamentary electorate is part of.

Suggested solution None offered

08 Waitemata**Objection 403 E J W Stephens**

Objects to the inclusion of a small portion of the Kelston/New Lynn area into the proposed Waitemata electorate. On the grounds that in West Auckland the general community of interest has been those to the east of the foot of Waikumete Hill identify with Kelston, New Lynn and Avondale and those to the west identify with Glendene, Henderson and Te Atatu. The Waikumete Cemetery and the topographical feature of Waikumete Hill form a traditional and practical demarcation between the two areas.

Suggested solution The eastern boundary of Sabulite Road, Wairau Creek and Whau River be maintained. Beyond Great North Road, the eastern boundary continues directly via Glenview Road to West Coast Road, west along West Coast Road to Glendale Road then south to join the current boundary.

Objection 134 J N Bade

Objects to the proposed name of Waitemata. The electorate is centred around Henderson and should be known as Henderson as the electorate corresponds to the boundaries of the Henderson Ward of Waitakere City, and historically it covers most of Thomas Henderson's land claim of 1844.

Objection 522 A K Corban

Similar to Objection 134.

Objection 523 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitemata electorate on the grounds that the suburb of Kelston is dislocated from its predominant focus and the suburb of Glen Eden has been split inappropriately.

Suggested solution That the West Coast Road be utilised as an appropriate southern boundary of proposed Waitemata from the Parris Cross Road intersection to the Pleasant Road intersection.

Objection 779 Waitakere City Council & Community Board

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitemata and Waitakere electorates on the grounds that

(a) the Glen Eden/Oratia Foothills has a significant community of interest currently split between New Lynn, Waitemata and Waitakere electorates.

Suggested solution Consideration be given to realigning the boundary down Titirangi Road to the intersection with View Road, down View Road to the railway line, heading north to the proposed boundary west of Swanson Road.

(b) Massey West/Massey East/West Harbour is an area of high urban development and therefore does not share a community of interest with the Waitakere Ranges but affiliates more closely with the urban characteristics of the proposed Waitemata electorate.

Suggested solution Consideration should be given to the boundary being drawn along Glen Road, north along Birdwood Road to the intersection of Red Hills Road, then follow Red Hills Road to the current territorial local authority board.

Objection 780 K Abraham, Chairperson, KareKare Residents & Ratepayers Association

Objection to the proposed boundaries of Waitemata on the grounds that the suburb of Glen Eden has a community of interest that is currently split; Green Bay has a community of interest with both Titirangi and the Waitakere Ranges. Massey West/Massey East/West Harbour do not share a community of interest with the

Waitakere Ranges; the communities of Hobsonville and Whenuapai are closely linked to the communities of Massey/West Harbour and that they are areas of growth in the Upper Waitemata Harbour area. Also the communities of Whenuapai and Hobsonville bases of the RNZAF have had a long association with Waitakere City.

Suggested solution Glen Eden - to realign the boundary down Titirangi Road to intersection with View Road, down View Road to railway line heading north to proposed boundary west of Swanson Road.

Green Bay - to realign boundary from intersection of Titirangi and Gulf Roads down to intersection of Gulf and Portage Roads to meet proposed boundary.

Massey West/Massey East/West Harbour. Boundary to be drawn along Don Buck Road until intersection of Red Hills Road, then follow Red Hills Road to current territorial local authority boundary.

Hobsonville/Whenuapai to align Waitemata boundary to that of territorial local authority i.e. to include Whenuapai, Herald Island and Hobsonville up to the Greenhithe Bridge.

Objection 778 Waitakere City Council

Objects to the proposed name of Waitemata on the grounds that this name does not reflect the geographical/topographical features or community of interests included within the electorate. The use of name Waitemata will generate confusion with both local electors and the public in general.

Suggested solution To call the electorate either Waipareira (preferred option), Te Atatu or Henderson.

Objection 777 K P Lynch

Objects to the name of Waitemata.

Suggested solution Call the electorate Waipareira.

09 New Lynn

Objection 524 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Waitakere, Waitemata, New Lynn and Albany electorates. See Objection 521 (07) Waitakere for general reasons.

Suggested solution That South Titirangi be transferred to the proposed New Lynn electorate by extending the proposed boundary at Titirangi Road to the south Titirangi Reserve and thence to Little Muddy Creek.

Objection 783 Waitakere City Council & Community Board

Objects to the proposed boundary of the New Lynn electorate on the grounds that when forming electorates and boundaries, consideration should be given to communities of interest and topographical features.

Suggested solution Glen Eden has a significant community of interest and is currently split between the New Lynn, Waitemata and Waitakere electorates. Consideration be given to realigning the boundary down Titirangi Road to the intersection with Great North Road, along Great North Road to the intersection with West Coast Road.

Objection 781 K P Lynch

Objections to the proposed boundaries of the New Lynn electorate on the grounds that the whole of Green Bay Valley should be included in the proposed Waitakere electorate because of the historical community of interest between Green Bay and Titirangi. Glen Eden has long had affinity with New Lynn. For a time, prior to the formation of Waitakere City, they formed a joint civic administration.

Suggested solution To include Green Bay in the Waitakere electorate and to compensate include that part of the former Glen Eden Borough, bounded by Glen View Road, the southern-eastern boundary of the Waikumete cemetery, the Tongutu Stream (dividing the Pisces Road Community House) to Glengarry Road, should be placed in the New Lynn electorate.

Objection 782 K Abraham, Chairperson, KareKare Residents & Ratepayers Association

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Waitakere and New Lynn on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Green Bay has a community of interest with Titirangi and Waitakere Ranges. The boundary should run from the intersection of Titirangi and Gulf Roads to intersection of Gulf and Portage Roads.

Objection 11 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of New Lynn on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Whau because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

10 Auckland

Objection 525 W J Strevens

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Epsom electorate and it consequential affect on Mt Smart, Tamaki and Auckland electorates. See Objection 530 (12) Epsom for general reasons.

Suggested solution The western section excluded from the proposed Epsom electorate compensates Auckland for the areas transferred. Collectively the four electorates should follow the natural contours of the land, take fully into account the respective communities of interest and where possible follow the Ward boundaries.

Objection 529 B McCartney

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Auckland and Epsom electorates. See Objection 532 (12) Epsom for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 12 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Auckland on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Waipapa because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 339 S Russell

Objects to the proposed name of Auckland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Auckland Central on the grounds that Auckland Central is a historic name that locals have been proud of. The use of the name Auckland is likely to lead to confusion.

12 Epsom

Objection 443 Dr M C J Fullam & Dr A F Jamieson

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the proposed Epsom electorate.

Suggested solution The western boundary of the proposed new Epsom electorate should run along Sandringham Road, from its intersection with Great North Road, down to its intersection with Mt Albert Road on the grounds that this proposal would more accurately reflect the community of interests of the Mt Eden/Balmoral area.

Objection 527 R O & E R Rackley

Objects to exclusion of the southern side of Selwyn Road between St Andrews Road and Buckley Road from the Epsom electorate. The residents of both sides of Selwyn Road have common community of interests; shopping; and recreational interests in the Epsom electorate. There are no facilities of interest in the adjacent Owairaka electorate.

Suggested solution From the St Andrews Road end of Selwyn Road to the Buckley Road junction the Epsom electorate boundary be the back section boundary of those residents on the south side of Selwyn Road: Numbers 74, 72, 70, 68, 66, 64, 46, 46a, 44, 44a, 42.

Objection 444 Professor C M Segedin & R H Lockstone

On the grounds of community of interest and topographical features objects to the inclusion of the southern side of Landscape Road between St Andrews Road and Mt Eden Road being included in the proposed Owairaka electorate on the grounds that both sides of the road are homogeneous and have marked affinities with nearby roads lying to the north and east in the Epsom electorate. There is little community of interest with Owairaka.

Suggested solution The boundary between the Epsom and Owairaka electorates should be amended to lie along the southern boundary of those residences with addresses in Landscape Road.

Objection 532 B McCartney

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Auckland and Epsom electorates on the grounds the area north of Mt Eden and View Road, and south of the railway line has been associated with Mt Eden for a long time. The local community of interest is to Mt Eden and the area south of View Road. Geographical, View Road (and Clive Road and Mountain Road) is not as significant as New North Road or Newton Road and Khyber Pass. It does not make sense to split an area using a road when a railway line is so close.

Suggested solution Shift the boundary from View Road, around Mt Eden, Clive Road and Mountain Road to the railway line. This is the obvious line of demarcation between Auckland/Newton/Grafton and Mt Eden/Epsom.

Objection 528 J McCartney

Objects to the northern boundary of the proposed Epsom electorate.

Suggested solution So as not to split the Mt Eden community of interest, to more closely follow topographical features and to allow for further variations in population the northern boundary should be moved to either New North Road or the railway line.

Objection 530 W J Strevens & R Tennet

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Epsom electorate and its consequential affect on Mt Smart, Tamaki and Auckland electorates on the grounds that the proposed boundaries ignore the natural contours of the land and communities of interest.

Suggested solution (a) Remuera electorate extending west to include the wharves, following the western edge of the Hobson Ward to the east side of Mt Eden Domain; south to Epsom Avenue; east on Mt St John Avenue, south-east along Great South Road to Penrose Road; north-east along a ward boundary to Ballarat Street; the west edge of Remuera Golf Course, along St Johns Road; north-west along Purewa Creek. Also including Gulf Islands.

(b) Tamaki electorate to the east of the suggested Remuera electorate, bounded to the south by Penrose and Waipuna Road, and to the east by the Tamaki River.

(c) A Mangakeikei electorate to the south of the suggested Remuera and Tamaki electorate with western, southern and eastern boundaries similar to the proposed Mt Smart electorate; and an Auckland electorate generally bounded to the south by Great North Road, Western Springs Road, Morningside Drive and Balmoral Road.

Objection 13 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Epsom on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Maungawhau because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 531 W J Strevens

Objects to the proposed name of the Epsom electorate.

Suggested solution Call the electorate Remuera.

Objection 526 H C Braun

Objects to the proposed boundary change between Tamaki and Epsom.

Suggested solution Boundary would follow line of the Orakei Basin and Creek then turn up Kelvin road, into Remuera Road south along Koraha Street to meet Abbots Way.

Objection 784 D L Freeman

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Epsom electorate. There is no community of interest between the areas of south Epsom (Three Kings area) and Owairaka itself.

Suggested solution The boundary between Epsom and Owairaka should be Mt Albert Road rather than Landscape/Selwyn Road as is proposed.

13 Tamaki**Objection 229 S Russell**

Objects to the inclusion of the suburbs of Panmure and Glen Innes in the proposed Tamaki electorate on the grounds that the proposed new electorate joins two communities which have no common community of interest. Panmure and Glen Innes are about the poorest area in Auckland City, whereas St Heliers and Mission Bay are about the wealthiest.

Suggested solution (a) The suburbs of Panmure, south of Pt England be added to Mt Smart, while the suburb of Ellerslie, north of the Ellerslie-Panmure Highway between Great South Road and Mt Wellington Domain is transferred to Tamaki (which should perhaps be renamed if this is done). This proposal joins Panmure with Mt Wellington, Oranga and Onehunga which are similar communities, while Ellerslie is joined with the neighbouring communities to the Northeast - where it fits better with regards community of interest than it does with Onehunga and Oranga.”

(B) By adding Glen Innes to Mt Smart as well as Panmure. Tamaki will extend to include the northern end of Ellerslie, and shift south of the Orakei Basin westwards to Upland Road. In return for its losses to Tamaki, Epsom would absorb the suburb of Royal Oak from Mt Smart, establishing a clearer boundary than that proposed presently, along Mt Albert Road and Campbell Road. In addition, Owairaka would cede the eastern side of Three Kings to Epsom, and gain the remainder of Hillsborough from Mt Smart. The consolidation of Hillsborough with Owairaka, and the transfer of Royal Oak into Epsom would improve the community of interests. The boundary between Tamaki and Mt Smart would be less neat, but would represent a far better division of the communities involved. (Again, the name Tamaki would need to be reconsidered if this is done, perhaps in favour of Eastern Bays).

Objection 535 J G Vosper

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Tamaki electorate.

Suggested solution To include Pakauranga West between Pakuranga Highway and Bucklands Beach Road, including Bucklands Beach. The Tamaki electorate could bridge the Tamaki Estuary. This may be better for communities of interest.

Objection 534 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of Mt Smart, Otara, Mangere and Manurewa with consequential adjustments affecting

Tamaki and Hunua electorates. See Objection 545 (14) Mt Smart for general reasons.

Suggested solution That the Tamaki/Mt Smart boundary utilise the Ellerslie Panmure Highway from Lagoon Drive to and along Lunn Avenue.

Objection 533 W J Strevens & 30 signatories

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Epsom electorate and its consequential effect on Mt Smart, Tamaki and Auckland electorates. See Objection 530 (12) Epsom for general reasons.

Suggested solution Tamaki is extended to include statistics grids 67,77 and 78 and the balance of 50. The Waitemata Harbour, Tamaki River and Penrose Road to the south follows the natural contours and takes accounts of their communities of interest.

14 Mt Smart

Objection 65 A Marsden

Objects to the inclusion of Pakuranga in the proposed Mt Smart electorate on the grounds of identity, character and different needs which should not be put together.

Suggested solution To include Pakuranga within the proposed Howick electorate using the Tamaki River as the boundary.

Objection 177 J F & L M O'Sullivan

Similar to Objection 65.

Objection 356 B G and A C Emson

Similar to Objection 65.

Objection 445 J Christophers

Similar to Objection 65.

Objection 80 A van der Laarse

Objects to the inclusion of Pakuranga in the proposed Mt Smart Electorate.

Suggested solution To include Pakuranga within the proposed Howick electorate using the Tamaki Estuary as the boundary.

Objection 357 T Doherty

Similar to Objection 80.

Objection 540 G Stephen

Similar to Objection 80.

Objection 144 T Flack

Objects to the division of the greater Pakuranga area into three electorates.

Suggested solution Suggests that the area of Pakuranga in the proposed Mt Smart and Otara electorates should be joined with the rest of Pakuranga, and that commercial property south of Ti Rakau Road could be placed in the proposed Otara Electoral District. Offers no solution as to the necessary consequential adjustments which would be required to Mt Smart, Howick and adjoining electorates.

Objection 207 D Martin Principal Riverina School

Objects to the inclusion of Pakuranga within the proposed Mt Smart electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution To include Pakuranga with part of the proposed Howick electorate using the Tamaki River as the boundary and putting the new area of East Howick into Hunua.

Objection 208 J D & J Kaye

Objects to the inclusion of Pakuranga in the Mt Smart electorate on the grounds that the area which is not included in the proposed Howick electorate is the original part of the Pakuranga settlement and contains the main shopping centre of Pakuranga.

Suggested solution The Tamaki estuary should be the western boundary of the proposed electorate. The southern boundary should also be moved so as to give Howick room to expand east towards Clevedon and Hunua. This would retain existing communities of interest.

Objection 340 P & J Aley

Objects to the inclusion of Western Pakuranga (bounded from the proposed Howick electorate by St Kentigern College, Pakuranga Highway and Gossamer Drive) in the proposed Mt Smart electorate.

Suggested solution Pakuranga remains intact as an electorate. If population requirements preclude this then all or part of Howick could be included in the Pakuranga area. The natural boundaries of the Tamaki River and Pakuranga Creek should be held so that the developing area of East Howick may expand without future alteration to the existing Howick and Pakuranga electorates.

Objection 358 D J Catley

Objects to the boundary between Howick and Mt Smart on the grounds that it breaches community of interest, facilities of communication, and topographic feature criteria. Objector details communities of interest between Pakuranga and Howick,

including the provision of social and local government services, and emphasises that similar relationships do not exist between Pakuranga and communities west of the Tamaki River.

Suggested solution To include Pakuranga within the proposed Howick electorate using the Tamaki River as the boundary.

Objection 447 Bucklands and Eastern Beaches Ratepayers and Residents Assn (Inc.)

Similar to Objection 358.

Objection 359 G M Ellis

See Objection 361 (15) Howick for reasons.

Suggested solution That two electorates be created east of the Tamaki River, one centred on Pakuranga with a boundary moving south for the required population and one on Howick with a boundary moving east for the required population.

Objection 536 R Northey MP

Objections to the proposed boundaries of the new Mt Smart electorate.

Suggested solution To include the Otahuhu area and the Panama Road area of Mt Wellington in the new electorate. This could be achieved by removing all the proposed parts of Pakuranga from the electorate. These areas could be readily exchanged through the Mangere and Otara electorates. Otahuhu and the Panama Road area have long been in the same electorate. The community of interest and facilities of communication are much better with Otahuhu.

Objection 546 J G Vosper

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Mt Smart electorate.

Suggested solution To include Glen Innes and Panmure as this format may be better for community of interest.

Objection 14 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Smart on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Rarotonga because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 355 S Hudson

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Smart. The geographical location of Mt Smart is small and one which contains few residents.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Onehunga because this

area is extensive and populous. It is the major town and port of the electorate.

Objection 538 J D Williams, Chairman, Maungakiekie Community Board

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Smart.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Maungakeikei as this is the correct name for the electorate.

Objection 541 D J Jordan

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Smart

Suggested solution To call the electorate Maungakiekie as that is a strong focal point for the electorate.

Objection 537 R Northey MP

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Smart

Suggested solution To call the electorate Onehunga on the grounds that Onehunga is the name of the second oldest European settlement in the Auckland Metropolitan area. It is a Maori name well respected by both Maori and Pakeha. Onehunga is one of the major suburbs in the electorate. Mt Smart is not the name of a suburb or geographical area of any significance.

Objection 539 J D Williams, Chairman, Maungakeikei Community Board

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Mt Smart electorate. The proposed boundaries do not take into account the Royal Oak focus. The people living in the area from Greenlane South to Royal Oak have an affinity with that area. This is due to the main shopping area of those people being Royal Oak and not Newmarket.

Suggested solution The boundary should turn left from Hillsborough into Mt Albert and then right into St Andrews Road and along to Gillies Avenue and down Epsom Avenue.

Objection 542 D J Jordan

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Mt Smart electorate.

Suggested solution Residents living in Mt Eden Road to Epsom Avenue around Mt Hobson area should be included in the Mt Smart electorate on the grounds of community of interest as most shop in the Royal Oak area.

Objection 448 B T Silcock

Similar to Objection 340. On the grounds of a strong community of interest between Howick and Pakuranga.

Suggested solution The Tamaki Estuary creates a natural boundary and is also the boundary between the two major local authorities of

Manukau City and Auckland City. This area should be included in the Howick electorate. To compensate the area east of Howick should be included in the new Hunua electorate. The people of Whitford, Beachlands and Maraetai could be reunited with the people of East Howick. They clearly have a community of interest with that area. To compensate the Mt Smart electorate for loss of population, areas to the south from Otahuhu should be added. The area concerned would go down to the Auckland Golf Course, Otahuhu College area. If the swap between the Pakuranga and Otahuhu areas was to leave the new Mt Smart electorate too large then the problem could be corrected to the north on its Epsom boundaries.

Objection 787 R J de Graaff

Similar to Objection 80.

Objection 786 S Rawson

Similar to Objection 65.

Objection 785 Manukau City Council

Objects to the boundaries not coinciding with those of Manukau and Auckland City Council.

Suggested solution That the portion of the Pakuranga Ward of Manukau City included in the proposed Mt Smart electorate be included in an electorate wholly within Manukau City to recognise the statutory criteria of communities of interest and the topographical features, specifically the natural division provided by the Tamaki River and Pakuranga Creek.

Objection 446 D A Carmont

Objects to the district around Panama Road being included in Mangere electorate.

Suggested solution To include the Panama Road area in Mt Smart. Pakuranga should be linked with Otara and the former Panmure electorate should be in Mt Smart.

Objection 544 W J Strevens

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Epsom electorate and its consequential effect on Mt Smart, Tamaki and Auckland electorates. See Objection 530 (12) Epsom for general reasons.

Suggested solution Its boundaries are generally south of Great South Road, Penrose Road and include the section from Pakuranga in the proposed Mt Smart electorate.

Objection 545 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of the Mt Smart, Otara, Mangere and Manurewa electorates with minor consequential adjustments affecting Tamaki and Hunua electorates.

Suggested solution That the Otahuhu suburb of Auckland City be transferred from the Mangere electorate to the Mt Smart electorate.

Objection 871 P Bolton

Objects to the proposed boundary for the Mt Smart electorate and specifically to the exclusion of Otahuhu and part of Mount Wellington from that Electorate on the grounds of communication facilities and topographical features. The link between Otahuhu, Panmure and Onehunga is much stronger than any link between Pakuranga and any other part of that proposed electorate, particularly Onehunga.

Suggested solution Otahuhu and part of Mount Wellington be included in Mt Smart with the necessary adjustment be made within a comparatively homogeneous community across the boundaries between the Mangere and Papatoetoe Wards of Manukau City.

Objection 543 W J Strevens

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Smart.

Suggested solution Call the electorate Maungakeikei.

15 Howick

Objection 450 B T Silcock

Objects to proposed boundaries of Howick and Mt Smart on the grounds of community of interest, facilities of communications, and topographical features. Similar to Objection 361.

Objection 145 T Flack

Objects to the division of the greater Pakuranga area into three electorates.

Suggested solution Suggests that the area of Pakuranga in the proposed Mt Smart and Otago electorates should be joined with the rest of Pakuranga, and that commercial property south of Ti Rakau Road could be placed in the proposed Otago Electoral District. Offers no solution as to the necessary consequential adjustments which would be required to Mt Smart, Howick and adjoining electorates.

Objection 361 G M Ellis

Objects to the proposed boundary for the Howick electorate on the grounds it does not include that part of Pakuranga south of Pakuranga Road and east of Pakuranga Creek while the rest is amalgamated in the Howick electorate. Including all of south-west Pakuranga in Mt Smart completely obliterates community of interest

Suggested solution That two electorates be created east of the Tamaki River, one centred on Pakuranga with a boundary moving south for the required population and one on Howick with a boundary moving east for the required population.

Objection 883 D Martin, Principal Riverina School

See objection 226 (19) Hunua for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 362 D J Catley

See Objection 358 (14) Mt Smart for reasons.

Suggested solution To include Pakuranga within the proposed Howick electorate using the Tamaki River as the boundary.

Objection 449 Bucklands and Eastern Beaches Ratepayers and Residents Assn (Inc.)

See Objection 358 (14) Mt Smart for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 15 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Howick on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Owairoa because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 360 T Doherty

Objects to the proposed name of Howick.

Suggested solution As this new electorate covers the areas of Half Moon Bay, Highland Park, Bucklands Beach, Pakuranga and Howick a name change to either Eastern Beaches, Eastern Bays, Eastern Auckland or Musick Point would be far better.

Objection 363 D J Catley

Objects to the proposed name of Howick.

Suggested solution To call the electorate East Auckland.

Objection 547 J G Vosper

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Howick electorate.

Suggested solution To include the area of Pakuranga that has been included in Mt Smart. This format may be better for communities of interest.

Objection 451 D Amiss

Objects to the location of the proposed western boundary between the Howick and Mt Smart electorate. See Objection 449 (15) Howick for general reasons.

Suggested solution Move the boundary to the Tamaki Estuary to compensate for the reduction in numbers in the Mt Smart, transfer the Otahuhu area into Mt Smart; adjust the proposed eastern Howick boundary to the Howick Town Centre; uniting the remainder of south/east Howick with the Beach areas to the south.

Objection 452 D Amiss

Objects strongly to the proposed name of Howick.

Suggested solution Either Highland Park, Botany, Gulf Bays, Owairoa, Cascade, Elsmore.

Objection 788 R, G & J Hicks

Objects to proposed boundaries of Howick and Mt Smart on the grounds of community of interests, cultural, social, and topographical features.

Suggested solution All of Pakuranga be included in electorate covering greater Auckland region immediately south-east of the isthmus, and bounded by the Tamaki River. Newer areas of Howick should be in adjoining electorate. Howick and Pakuranga are two communities that have a significant common interest.

16 Otara**Objection 146 T Flack**

Objects to the division of the greater Pakuranga area into three electorates. Suggests that the area of Pakuranga in the proposed Mt Smart and Otara electorates should be joined with the rest of Pakuranga, and that commercial property south of Ti Rakau Road could be placed in the proposed Otara Electoral District.

Suggested solution Offers no solution as to the necessary consequential adjustments which would be required to Mt Smart, Howick and adjoining electorates.

Objection 157 G J Prockter

Objects to encompassing the Ward of Papatoetoe into an overall electorate of Otara as it appears to be extremely destructive to the smaller but active and well integrated community. Also objects to the name Otara.

Suggested solution The only solution is to rename the electorate Papatoetoe or Manukau North or Auckland South.

Objection 264 J R Murray

Objects to the exclusion of the western end of Puhinui Road and Prices Road for the proposed Otara electorate.

Suggested solution Should be included in the proposed Otara electorate on the grounds that the people who live in this area regard themselves as living in Papatoetoe and have to travel into and out of the Papatoetoe electorate in order to vote.

Objection 374 M J Haliday, Chairman, Papatoetoe Labour Electorate Committee

Objects to the exclusion of a small portion of the Mangere East area from the proposed Otara electorate.

Suggested solution To continue the line along Massey Road to the South Western Motorway and then down the South Western Motorway to Puhinui Road. This would put the Tidal Road area into the Mangere electorate. Alternatively the Mangere East area should follow the boundary between the current Papatoetoe and Mangere Wards of Manukau City.

Objection 49 Papatoetoe High School Board of Trustees

Objects to the proposed name of Otara which should be named Papatoetoe. Papatoetoe is a community of long-standing which achieved its own city status prior to absorption into Manukau City. Papatoetoe is essentially a residential area which has a wide range of sporting, cultural and service clubs which incorporate Papatoetoe in their title. Five primary, one intermediate and one secondary school also have Papatoetoe as part of their identities. . Not one school in the area even has Otara in its name. Fairly or unfairly, Otara is a name with negative connotations both in the Auckland area and to many across the country. Papatoetoe existed before Otara as a residential area, as a shopping area, as an educational provider and as an electorate.

Objection 365 G M Ellis

See Objection 361 (15) Howick for reasons.

Suggested solution That two electorates be created east of the Tamaki River, one centred on Pakuranga with a boundary moving south for the required population and one on Howick with a boundary moving east for the required population.

Objection 366 I C McGechie

Objects to the exclusion of part of the Papatoetoe Ward, Manukau City, on the grounds that community of interest and facilities of communication for the people living in this area are with Papatoetoe.

Suggested solution The boundary between the proposed Otara and

Mangere electorates should follow along Massey Road and then down the South Western Motorway or alternatively, should follow the western boundary of the Papatoetoe Ward of Manukau City.

Objection 390 M J Haliday Chairman, Papatoetoe Labour Electorate Committee

Objects to the proposed name of Otara.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as Papatoetoe as the new electorate incorporates approximately 60% of the old Papatoetoe electorate and 40% of the old Otara electorate. Papatoetoe became an electorate in 1977 whereas Otara became an electorate only prior to the 1984 election. Up until 30 years ago the district now known as Otara was better known as East Tamaki.

Objection 364 I C McGechie & 5609 other signatories

Similar to first two sentences of Objection 390 and fourth sentence of Objection 49. Both names are of Maori origin but the name Papatoetoe is of more general and lengthier historical association with the greater part of the area than is the name Otara.

The following persons or organisations object to the proposed name of Otara for historical reasons and suggest the electorate should be named Papatoetoe.

Objection 50 N K Ladd

Objection 54 L C Snowling

Objection 57 G & S Leckie

Objection 59 J A & S I Jury

Objection 83 J I Farrelly

Objection 87 N N & J Beeby

Objection 93 K J & G F Bond

Objection 95 B Turner

Objection 97 E L, C I & J H McKellow

Objection 98 R Frampton

Objection 101 D & D Ward

Objection 108 R Faulkner

Objection 109 C I Walmsley

- Objection 112 Papatoetoe Croquet Club**
- Objection 124 P Kimitaunga**
- Objection 129 J & H Golding**
- Objection 130 L Rowley**
- Objection 136 J M Clayton**
- Objection 137 K Jenkins**
- Objection 138 P H Aish & 2 others**
- Objection 142 R G Hammond**
- Objection 149 D J & H M Fisher**
- Objection 158 J E & E S W Clews**
- Objection 161 I Grindlay**
- Objection 179 D C Fotherby**
- Objection 180 U Fotherby**
- Objection 181 A Richards**
- Objection 182 J M & W T Rapson**
- Objection 183 H F H Allingham**
- Objection 185 R I K & R D Dutton**
- Objection 188 M King Supervisor of Papatoetoe
Communicare**
- Objection 189 E J Ryan**
- Objection 190 B Ealam**
- Objection 209 E & C Threadgold**
- Objection 210 L D Waterhouse**
- Objection 211 E Dickinson**
- Objection 212 M P Wilkinson**
- Objection 214 G M Rivett**
- Objection 217 S E Ewan**
- Objection 218 Board of Trustees Papatoetoe Intermediate
School**

Maori elders advise that Papatoetoe has a far longer history with the area.

Objection 232 C McMurchy-Pilkington

Objection 236 D E Green

Objection 238 Board of Trustees Papatoetoe Central School

Objection 239 P Bijl

Objection 252 Papatoetoe & District RSA Club.

Objection 253 Papatoetoe & District RSA (Inc.)

Objection 254 T Dunn

Objection 256 A J Forsyth

Objection 262 D K Symes

Objection 263 J R Murray

Objection 287 J S Taylor

Objection 288 J R Watson

Objection 308 G K Pennington

Objection 312 V Mottram

Objection 314 J Carson

Objection 367 S T Carter & 8 other signatories

Objection 368 J McGarvey

Objection 369 R Yeoman

**Objection 370 J Harkness, President, Papatoetoe
Cosmopolitan Club (Inc.)**

Objection 371 A N Mitchell

Objection 373 F M Marshall

Objector is a long term resident.

**Objection 378 R Brownlee, Secretary, Papatoetoe Spinners &
Weavers.**

Objection 379 K P Snowling

Objector is a long term resident

Objection 377 A M Nicholson

Objector is a long term resident.

Objection 375 M M & W L Haliday

Also Papatoetoe is an old Maori name.

Objection 404 A M Darby**Objection 405 D M Jones****Objection 407 B Sprigings****Objection 408 E A Iles****Objection 406 T Choat**

The greater population of the new electorate is in fact inside the present Papatoetoe electorate and not Otara.

Objection 453 B Turner, Secretary, Papatoetoe Communicators ITC Club**Objection 454 J Wilson (Takaanini)**

Historical. Papatoetoe is a name by which the Tainui people know the area. The name Otara does not have the same identification in either Maori or Pakeha history.

Objection 456 J H Oudney

Papatoetoe is a Maori name which means flat land covered with pampas grass and the area was named as such when the pioneers began cultivating and farming.

Objection 549 N T Newsome & 4 other signatories**Objection 553 J Vesetolu, Secretary, Papatoetoe Swimming Club****Objection 795 S C Grey****Objection 791 F W Quarterman & 2 other signatories****Objection 794 W H & W H Sims****Objection 550 D G Roberts, Secretary, Papatoetoe Historical Society****Objection 789 T Wilson**

Objector is a long term resident

Objection 828 J Pilkington

The following persons or organisations object to the proposed name of Otara for historical reasons plus the fact that Papatoetoe is the administrative commercial centre of the electorate and should therefore be named Papatoetoe.

Objection 56 D M Sinclair

The name refers to the flat land - Papa - that covers the entire district. It has a long Maori and European association. The name

Otara is not unique as there is one east of Bluff and one south of Opotiki and is of relatively recent origin.

Objection 81 C L O'Brien and 5 others

Objection 82 P & H Greenbank

Objectors see Papatoetoe as representing the heart and Otara as representing its supporting organs. This reflects the history of the two neighbourhoods with Papatoetoe having city status up until the recent amalgamations.

Objection 89 A H Boyle

Objection 91 W J Whitburn

Objection 126 N Gilbert

Objection 128 H E Davis

Papatoetoe is also a Maori name meaning the land where the toi toi grows which is very suitable for the electorate, more so than Otara.

Objection 139 F R & D Withers

Objection 178 V E & I M Goodger

Objection 191 L R Bright

Objection 216 L A Drake

Objection 231 J R, L M & CE Jaggard

Objection 235 M J Forbes

Objection 455 D Poole

The following persons or organisations object to the proposed name of Otara for historical reasons and because of the negative connotations associated with the name of Otara which the name Papatoetoe doesn't have.

Objection 16 J & L Denne

Objection 48 A Jermaine as Principal of Papatoetoe Intermediate School

Both are Maori names and are multi-cultural population centres but the word Papatoetoe has greater historical significance and a more positive connotation than Otara.

Objection 51 M E & J Chellew

Objection 52 A, D & M Mountain

Also has a far greater depth of community.

Objection 55 V W & S M McEwan

Objection 58 V R Christie

Objection 84 B Brandon

Papatoetoe over the last few years has been developing as a strong commercial and retail centre ahead of Otara.

Objection 90 I Kippax

Objection 106 A S Johnstone

Objection 133 T A & P N Meek

Objection 135 K Hawthorne

Objection 141 M A Wortt

Objection 153 F L Bradford

Objection 155 L A Hazelton

Objection 160 W Steedman

Objection 162 R Shoebridge

Objection 163 W F Johnston

Objection 167 R & S Lolesio

Objection 184 B & S F Luff

Objection 380 K B & P T Steele

The following persons or organisations object to the proposed name of Otara because of the negative connotations associated with the name which Papatoetoe does not have.

Objection 53 T Hooley

Objection 88 G & R I Ferguson

Objection 111 L Crealy

Objection 114 C D Litherland

Objection 152 C K Davidson

Objection 172 T V Hollis

Objection 230 W & G Johnstone

Also objects to boundary. Suggests inclusion of Otara in Howick.

Objection 259 M L Fletcher

Objection 261 I Williamson

Otara is only a small part of the Electorate.

Objection 868 L Laoa

Objection 731 R Barlow

Objection 551 Lee

Objection 289 A Espie

Objection 310 Papatoetoe Softball Club Inc.

Also for historical reasons.

The following persons object to the proposed name of Otara because of their long term residency within the area and suggest the electorate should be named Papatoetoe.

Objection 47 D Patrick

Objection 66 K T & Z M Mills

Objection 85 J A McKenzie

Objection 86 R Parkison

Objection 92 A H & B S Leaning

Objection 94 M J & E E Hole

Objection 96 A M Baines

Objection 99 P Barker

Objection 100 V & C Bradburn

Objection 102 R Allen

Objection 103 S W Lal

Objection 104 R Wearne

Objection 105 GP Rector

Objection 110 J V Graham

Objection 115 E & S W Stafford

Objection 116 J & B Walden

Objection 122 M E Bickers

Objection 123 M & M Elliott

Objection 125 A M Webster

Objection 127 G Craig

Objection 147 F Crook

Objection 148 H J & J I Glen

Objection 150 A McLeod

Objection 154 E M Millan

Objection 156 G J Prockter

Papatoetoe is well known by all members of the community and should have some preference or otherwise the electorate should be named Manukau North, Auckland South, etc.

Objection 159 B Potter

Objection 169 H V A Cunningham

Objection 170 E J P Carr

Objection 171 H M, I C, CA & J M Aickin

Objection 173 B & N L Cowper

Objection 233 H M Joyce

Objection 234 F M Gilmer

Objection 258 A Armstrong

Objection 260 T Heather**Objection 309 B J Kirk****Objection 311 J M Cleary**

New electorate will be made up of approximately two-thirds of the voters from Papatoetoe and one-third from Otara.

Objection 372 M A Grab

Also negative connotations associated with the name of Otara.

Objection 376 J M & J E Franicevic**Objection 548 N Faché****Objection 789 T Wilson**

Long term resident and historical reasons.

The following persons object to the proposed name of Otara. Suggest the electorate should be named Papatoetoe but do not provide any or substantial reasons.

Objection 113 M Cobham**Objection 151 R & R McGehan****Objection 168 M L Hutchence****Objection 186 I & E Medway****Objection 187 J Gosling****Objection 213 N E Boshier****Objection 215 L Foster****Objection 313 H P Young**

Alternative name to Papatoetoe.

Objection 107 P Bremmer**Objection 237 D Palmer****Objection 255 N J & R Perrie****Objection 257 A V Woodman****Objection 793 R A Findlay**

Objection 556 W G Orbell & 24 other signatories

Objects to the proposed name of Otara.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate Papatoetoe as that is a name more closely associated with the general district.

Objection 557 W G Orbell & 24 other signatories

Objects to the provisional boundaries including most of the Papatoetoe Ward in Mangere electorate.

Suggested solution To include all of the Papatoetoe Ward in the proposed Otara electorate.

Objection 554 R Robertson MP

Objects to the proposed name of Otara. Almost 60% of proposed new electorate is made up from Papatoetoe and Papatoetoe is an old established area.

Suggested solution Return name of Papatoetoe as it has been an electorate longer than Otara.

Objection 790 B Douglas

Objects to the exclusion from the electorate of properties at the western end of Phuini Road and Prices Road. Objects to the use of the name Otara rather than retaining the name of Papatoetoe.

Suggested solution To include this general areas in Otara (Papatoetoe). There are far more living in the Papatoetoe district than in the present Otara district. The name of Papatoetoe has been always associated with the district.

Objection 555 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of the Otara, Mt Smart, Mangere and Manurewa electorates with consequential adjustments affecting Tamaki and Hunua electorates. See Objection 545 (14) Mt Smart for general reasons.

Suggested solution That the part of the Pakuranga suburb in the proposed Mt Smart electorate be transferred to the Otara electorate. That the Papatoetoe West area be transferred from the proposed Otara electorate to the proposed Mangere electorate, utilising the Main South Trunk railway line, the Coronation Road area and the Grange Golf course.

Objection 552 C P Johnson

Objects to the proposed name of Otara.

Suggested solution As the new electorate comprises both communities of Otara and Papatoetoe, currently electorates in their own right, the proposed electorate should be given a neutral name of

either East Tamaki or Manukau. East Tamaki is the name of an important road linking the two communities and Manukau is the name of the council area to which Otara, Papatoetoe and East Tamaki belong.

Objection 792 R G Strong & 3 other signatories

Objects to proposed name of Otara. Similar to Objection 49.

17 Mangere

Objection 341 S Russell

Objects to the inclusion of Otahuhu in the proposed Mangere electorate to lessen future disruption to the boundary. Population projections indicate that future boundary changes will necessitate the eventual removal of Otahuhu from the Mangere electorate.

Suggested solution To take Otahuhu out of Mangere and replace it with West Papatoetoe by following the main trunk railway between Portage Road and Puhinui Road.

Objection 457 M Peckston

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Mangere electorate. Because of community of interests it would be more logical to keep all of Mangere in one electorate.

Suggested solution The boundary should go along Portage Road to Buckland Road and across to Hospital Road in line with the Papatoetoe Ward boundary.

Objection 558 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of the Mangere, Mt Smart, Otara and Manurewa electorates with minor consequential adjustments affecting Tamaki and Hunua electorates. See Objection 545 (14) Mt Smart for general reasons.

Suggested solution That the Otahuhu suburb of Auckland City be transferred from the Mangere electorate to the Mt Smart electorate. That the part of the Pakuranga suburb in the proposed Mt Smart electorate be transferred to the Otara electorate.

Objection 796 A A Hall

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Mangere electorate. The area which presently constitutes the Papatoetoe Ward of Manukau City should be included in the proposed Otara electorate on the grounds that the community of interest is with Papatoetoe.

Suggested solution The boundary of the new electorate incorporating the Papatoetoe area should follow the current Papatoetoe Ward boundary of Manukau City.

18 Manurewa**Objection 559 G Hawkins MP**

Objects to proposed Manurewa boundary. Not all of the Manurewa electorate is contained within boundaries of Manukau City.

Suggested solution None given.

Objection 560 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of the Manurewa, Mt Smart, Otara and Mangere electorates with consequential adjustments affecting Tamaki and Hunua electorates. See Objection 545 (14) Mt Smart for general reasons.

Suggested solution That the Puhinui area be transferred to Manurewa utilising the Puhinui, Carruth and Victoria Road areas and the Main South Trunk railway line.

19 Hunua**Objection 226 D Martin Principal Riverina School**

Objects to the inclusion of the area east of Howick in the proposed Howick electorate.

Suggested solution Area should be included in the proposed Hunua electorate on the grounds that the Howick area is a rapidly developing area.

Objection 265 Maraetai Ratepayers' & Residents Assn. (Inc)

Objects to the inclusion of Beachlands, Maraetai and Whitford in the proposed Hunua electorate on the grounds that the predominant community of interest is with Howick. This is reinforced with the proposed Howick-Beachlands causeway.

Suggested solution To include the areas of Beachlands, Maraetai and Whitford within the Howick electorate.

Objection 797 P D Woods

Similar to Objection 265

Objection 227 D Martin Principal Riverina School

Objects to the proposed name of Howick.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate East Auckland on the grounds of a strong community identity between Howick and Pakuranga.

Objection 458 Waikato District Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waikato and Hunua electorates on the grounds that boundaries should be closely aligned to existing political boundaries that are relevant to Local Government.

Suggested solution The northernmost boundary of the Waikato electorate should coincide with the boundary of the Waikato Regional Council. This would require the northernmost part of the proposed Waikato electorate being part of the Hunua electorate and the southernmost part of the Hunua electorate becoming part of the Waikato electorate.

Objection 561 N V Darvill

Similar to Objection 265.

Objection 409 S H G Christie, C J King & R E Burnell and 140 other signatories

The northern boundary of Hunua in the area of Spartan Road/Oakleigh Avenue/Taka Street and Airfield Road is inappropriate as it splits communities of interest and follows weak topographical features. Similarly the Alfriston-Ardmore Road boundary does not meet the criteria. In particular, parts of Alfriston, Ardmore and Takanini which are part of Papakura City are excluded from Hunua.

Suggested solution The correct boundaries should be the existing Hauraki boundary with the Motorway in the west, Orams Road, and Totara Park to the north. Alternatively, the northern boundary of Statistics New Zealand's area Unit 66 could be used in whole or in part to meet the objection. To compensate, the area of Karaka could be moved from the electorate.

Objection 562 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of Mt Smart, Otara, Mangere and Manurewa with minor consequential adjustments affecting Tamaki and Hunua.

Suggested solution That the Hunua/Otara boundary utilise Murphy's Road and Point View Drive.

Objection 563 FP & C E Bethell

Objects to proposed boundaries of Hunua and Waikato on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution North Mauku Road in total from the railway line, Findlay Road corner to Day Road be in Hunua electorate.

20 Coromandel

Objection 410 Whakatane & Opotiki District Councils & 1289 other signatories

See Objection 417 (33) East Cape for general reasons.

Suggested solution The revised proposal is to transfer all of the Kaimai Ward to Coromandel.

Objection 459 Young Nats Kaimai

See Objection 462 (25) Tauranga for general reasons and suggested changes.

Coromandel has a closer relationship to the Thames valley and Hauraki Plains region.

Objection 566 L Casey

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Coromandel electorate. Katikati has more in common with Western Bay of Plenty than it does with Coromandel. The existing Maori tribal boundary is just north west of Katikati.

Suggested solution The boundary should lie between Katikati and Waihi going down to between Matua and Whakatane and take a residual part of Tauranga City, Mt Maunganui and Welcome Bay.

Objection 564 Coromandel Peninsula Watchdog Inc

Objects to the exclusion of Te Aroha Township, Mt Te Aroha and adjacent land east of the Waihou River on grounds that Te Aroha has greater community of interest with the Coromandel its environs are part of the Thames Valley, and the Waihou River is a natural topographical boundary. For Maori, the linkage between Mt Te Aroha and Mt Moehau has enormous cultural and spiritual significance.

Suggested solution Inclusion of above areas could be offset by inclusion of the Lower Kaimai area into the Karapiro electorate.

Objection 567 Matakana Alliance, Electorate Committee

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Coromandel electorate on the basis of natural terrain and community of interest. The northern region of Coromandel is largely tourist and mining orientated while the southern part is horticultural, timber, port and harbour operating.

Suggested solution The southern boundary should be between Athenree and Katikati.

Objection 798 J R Neill

Objects to the boundary between the proposed Coromandel and Bay of Plenty electorates. The southern section of the proposed

Coromandel electorate has no community of interest with the northern section

Suggested solution Create two City/Rural electorates in the Bay of Plenty total area using Tauranga as a pivot point. The southern boundary of Coromandel be between Kati Kati and Waihi or Waihi and Paeroa. The northern boundary of the East Cape electorate be between Edgecumbe and Matata.

Objection 585 L J Christie and 20 other signatories

21 residents of the community of Oropi and Upper Pyes Pa area object to the proposed boundaries of the Coromandel electorate on the grounds that the communities of interest are being divided. The area is a farming community with links to Te Puke. The whole area would be best served by being included in the Bay of Plenty electorate.

Suggested solution To adjust the proposed boundary between Bay of Plenty and Coromandel electorates by taking the boundary west from a point adjacent to Jacks Lane on the Waiorahi Stream, to pass north of Williams Road, Taumata Road, and Belk Road south to a point adjacent to Peers Road on the Omanawa Stream, then southwards to meet the present proposed boundary.

Objection 799 Western Bay of Plenty District Council

Objects to the splitting of the Western Bay of Plenty District into two electorates that do not have any community of interest with the Western Bay of Plenty area. The Western Bay of Plenty comprising the Western Bay of Plenty and Tauranga Districts, has a strong focal point on Tauranga City and the Port of Tauranga.

Suggested solution Western Bay of Plenty and Tauranga Districts be combined to form two electorates. Two possible options are:

(a) Leave Tauranga as proposed with the remainder (including significant parts of Tauranga District), forming a second, more rural orientated electorate. (b) Split the combined districts in half, approximately along the line of State Highway 29 to the Tauranga Harbour (Waimapu Estuary). Although this option splits the Districts, the Western Bay of Plenty community of interest is maintained.

The Bay of Plenty Region population provides for four electorates (population of 208,161). These could be established as follows:

(i) Two electorates based in Western Bay of Plenty. (ii) One based in Eastern Bay of Plenty comprising Whakatane, Kawerau and Opotiki Districts. (iii) One electorate based on the Rotorua District.

Objection 565 Matakana Alliance Committee

Objects to proposed boundary of Coromandel on the grounds of community of interests

Suggested solution An area from Katikati to back of Tauranga be swapped for an area round Te Aroha.

Objection 17 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Coromandel on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Hauraki because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

21 Waikato

Objection 460 Waikato District Council

See Objection 458 (19) Hunua.

Objection 461 Rt Hon W F Birch

Objects to the proposed name of Waikato on the grounds that more than 50% of the electorate live within Franklin district.

Suggested solution To use the name Rangariri, a neutral name for the proposed electorate. The new electorate is very similar to the old Rangiriri electorate. The township of Rangiriri is centrally situated within the electorate and is already closely identified with geographical regions contained in the new electorate.

Objection 568 P F Aitken, Mayor, Franklin District Council

Similar to Objection 461.

Objection 570 The New Zealand Labour Party

See Objection 572 (22) Hamilton West for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 569 The New Zealand Labour Party

See Objection 576 (23) Hamilton East for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 881 G T de Groen

Objects to proposed boundary of Waikato on the grounds of community of interests.

Suggested solution Boundary continue north on the Waipa River move east on Saalbrey Road south of Ngaruawahia, cross State Highway 1 to meet existing Hamilton East boundary. To maintain consistency and community of interest communities of Te Kowhai and Whatawhata should be in the same electorate as Koromatua and Rotokauri.

Objection 880 North Waikato Federated Farmers and Huntly Business Association

Objects to the proposed name of Waikato on the grounds that: (a) Huntly is the physical centre of the proposed Waikato Electorate and is identified as the centre of the North Waikato region. (b) The majority of the Electorate falls into what is known and identified currently as the "North Waikato Region". (c) The name Waikato does not reflect the identity of the region in geographical terms as the vast majority of the Waikato lies to the east and south east of the proposed Electorate boundaries.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate North Waikato.

Objection 571 FP & C E Bethell

Objects to the electorate name

Suggested solution To call the electorate Rangiriri.

Objection 875 F P & C E Bethell

Objects to the 'D' classification for the Higher Salaries Commission.

Suggested solution Re-classify as 'C'.

22 Hamilton West**Objection 342 S Russell**

Objects to the inclusion of part of the rural Waipa District in the proposed Hamilton West electorate.

Suggested solution To include this area in the Hamilton East electorate so as to create a more homogenous Hamilton West and to better service the interests of the rural voters concerned. The adjustment would be consistent with improving community of interest meets the quota criteria as well as following a natural boundary between the urban and rural communities.

Objection 572 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the inclusion of areas to the immediate south of Hamilton City in the Hamilton West electorate as it is inappropriate to link a rural area with an urban electorate and therefore does not best reflect community of interest and ease of communication.

Suggested solution That part of Waipa district in proposed Hamilton West be transferred to the Waikato electorate, and the industrial zone to the north-west and those dormitory townships to the west of Hamilton City between the city boundary and the Waipa River be included in the Hamilton West seat.

Objection 574 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the inclusion of the Peacockes Road area in the Hamilton West electorate.

Suggested solution The area be transferred to the Hamilton East electorate so that all of Hamilton City is divided by the Waikato River.

Objection 573 J H Dudley & 31 other signatories

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of Hamilton West/King Country. See Objection 591 (29) King Country for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 18 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Hamilton West on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Tuhikaramea because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

23 Hamilton East**Objection 575 The New Zealand Labour Party**

See Objection 572 (22) Hamilton West general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 576 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the exclusion of Ngaruawahia township from the urban seat of Hamilton East as this ignores current community of interest and facilities of communications.

Suggested solution That sufficient population in the south and east of the current proposed Hamilton East electorate be transferred to the Waikato electorate to enable the inclusion of Ngaruawahia township in the Hamilton East electorate.

Objection 19 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Hamilton East on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Miropiko because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

24 Karapiro**Objection 578 Thames Environment Society**

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Coromandel electorate. The township of Te Aroha and east to the Waihou River should be included in the Coromandel electorate on the grounds of community of interest. The variation in population in making this change is not large and could be offset by moving the lower Kaimai area (south-west of Tauranga) to the Karapiro electorate.

Objection 577 Te Aroha Mining Information Group

Similar to Objection 578.

25 Tauranga**Objection 411 Whakatane & Opotiki District Councils & 1289 other signatories**

See Objection 417 (33) East Cape for general reasons.

Suggested solution It is recommended that the eastern boundary of the Tauranga Electorate be moved to the east and follow an appropriate meshblock boundary in the Papamoa Ward so that approximately 1500 GEP is transferred to the Tauranga Electorate from Bay of Plenty.

Objection 462 Young Nats Kaimai

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Tauranga electorate on the grounds that the Western Bay Region is very much one community with Tauranga as the natural centre and this has been splintered. Rural communities have been isolated from their natural service and communication centres. Two electorates representing a mix of urban, semi-urban and rural areas better serves the voters of the Western Bay Region.

Suggested solution Tauranga Harbour, Athenree Gorge and the Kaimai Range effectively isolate the region from its neighbours providing a natural boundary and this area should be split east and west to give a mix of rural and urban components.

26 Bay of Plenty**Objection 192 R G Appleford**

Objects to the exclusion of a portion of the Oceandowns development in the Tauranga electorate on the grounds that by virtue of its geographical position should it be in the Tauranga electorate.

Suggested solution To use the old Mount Maunganui Borough Council boundary to separate the Tauranga and Bay of Plenty electorates.

Objection 413 Whakatane & Opotiki District Councils and 1289 other signatories

See Objection 417 (33) East Cape for general reasons.

Suggested solution That all of the Opotiki district be transferred to the Bay of Plenty and areas of the Kaimai and Papamoa Wards transferred to Coromandel and Tauranga respectively to improve communities of interest and meet the population requirements of the revised Bay of Plenty Electorate.

Objection 463 Young Nats Kaimai

See Objection 462 (25) Tauranga for general reasons and suggested solution.

Suggested solution Whakatane is the communal and service centre for Eastern Bay of Plenty and East Cape Region.

Objection 412 P Garrett

Objects to Welcome Bay being in the Bay of Plenty electorate as its community of interest lies towards Tauranga..

Suggested solution Revert to the old Kaimai boundaries.

Objection 580 D R Mellow

Similar to Objection 192.

Suggested solution The boundary could be placed along the natural boundary for the drainage easement from State Highway 2 through to the ocean.

Objection 579 A Hall & 20 other signatories

See Objection 603 (33) East Cape for general reasons

Suggested solution The southern boundary of East Cape be the northern boundary of Hastings district. To compensate, Murupara, Te Whaiti, Ruatahuna, Minganui, and Taneatua, which have strong links with Opotiki be added to East Cape.

Objection 581 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of Bay of Plenty, East Cape, Napier, Takapau, Wairarapa, Rangitikei, Taupo, and Rotorua electorates.

See Objection 612 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 801 M A C Blanshard, Chairman, Greenwood Park Village

Objects to the inclusion of Greenwood Park village in the proposed

Bay of Plenty electorate. The community of interest is with Tauranga not Te Puke, Whakatane and Kawerau.

Suggested solution Proposed boundary is changed to include the entire 16.7 hectares of Greenwood Park Village. The boundary of the proposed Tauranga being shifted from the Welcome Bay Road Northern frontage of Greenwood Park village to the Kaitemako Stream. The boundary between the proposed Coromandel and Bay of Plenty electorates be redrawn to include Bethlehem, with its fast growing population, along with Tauriko in Coromandel.

Objection 803 J R Neill

See Objection 798 (20) Coromandel for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 802 Western Bay of Plenty District Council

See Objection 799 (20) Coromandel for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 20 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Bay of Plenty on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Putauaki because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

27 Rotorua

Objection 343 S Russell

Objects to the inclusion of part of the Taupo District and a small area south of Ohaaki in the proposed Rotorua electorate.

Suggested solution To incorporate these two areas in the Taupo electorate as this would better serve the community of interest as well as utilise the natural boundary formed by the Waikato River.

Objection 582 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of Rotorua, Bay of Plenty, East Cape, Napier, Takapau, Wairarapa, Rangitekei and Taupo electorates.

See Objection 612 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 865 A Hall & 20 other signatories

See Objection 603 (33) East Cape for general reasons

Suggested solution The geographical areas containing Murupara, Te Whaiti, Ruatahuna, Mingonui and Taneatua all of which share

strong community interests with Opotiki, East Coast, Gisborne and Wairoa be included in the proposed East Cape electorate.

28 Taupo

Objection 193 I Metcalfe

Objects to being included in the Taupo electorate.

Suggested solution Electoral boundaries should be left as they were.

Objection 315 G F J Bourke

See Objection 316 (29) King Country for general reasons for objections to King Country, Waitotara, Taupo and Rangitikei.

Suggested solution Objector proposes revised electorates for King Country and Rangitikei, redistribution of the proposed Taupo and Waitotara electorates and the creating of Taranaki and Wanganui electorates.

Objection 583 A Hall & 20 other signatories

See Objection 603 (33) East Cape for general reasons.

Suggested solution None offered

Objection 584 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of Taupo, Bay of Plenty, East Cape, Napier, Takapau, Wairarapa, Rangitikei, and Rotorua electorates.

See Objection 612 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

29 King Country

Objection 589 Womens Division of Federated Farmers South Taranaki Provincial

Objects to the proposed King Country/Waitotara electorate which will divide communities, in particular Hawera and its satellite towns of Normanby and Ohawe.

Suggested solution The establishment of two Taranaki electorates based upon the Regional Council boundaries would create compact electorates, centred upon a very strong community of interest, facilities and topographical features.

Objection 590 N M Marshall

Objects to Ahu Ahu Road being included in King Country on community of interest grounds.

Suggested solution Include area in New Plymouth

Objection 592 New Zealand National Party New Plymouth Electorate

Objects to the proposed King Country and New Plymouth boundaries on the grounds of logic. The proposed boundary divides groups with strong community of interest.

Suggested solution New Plymouth electorate should include Inglewood and the area from the sea to Mount Egmont/Taranaki from Waitara to the Stony River; excluding Waitara if this is necessary to adjust the number of electors in the New Plymouth electorate.

Objection 804 New Plymouth, Stratford and South Taranaki District Councils and Taranaki Regional Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of King Country and Waitotara electorates on the grounds the failure of the Commission to recognise the existence of a distinct Taranaki community of interest. The creation of King Country and Waitotara electorate is inappropriate.

Taranaki people have no community of interest with either King Country or Wanganui. From a historical perspective, until 1977, Taranaki seats had a strong community of interest on the New Plymouth, Egmont and Stratford communities. Taranaki associated with a very dominant topographical feature, Mount Taranaki or Mount Egmont. Topographical barriers have acted to reinforce New Plymouth, Stratford and Hawera as major centres of communication.

Suggested solution A Taranaki electorate should be created on a line coterminous with the eastern boundaries of the South Taranaki, Stratford and New Plymouth districts. If necessary could include the townships of Mokau and Awakino in the north. Consequential effects: a) that the proposed electorate to be known as King Country be deleted. b) that the new electorate to be known as Whanganui be established to include the territorial local authority districts of Wanganui and Ruapehu. c) that the remainder of the current King Country electorate be split between the current Taupo, Karapiro and Waikato electorates. With relative ease, the Waitomo district could be included in Taupo and the Otorohanga district could be included in the Waikato and Karapiro electorates. d) minor changes may be necessary to boundaries of the Rangitikei and Rotorua electorates in order to accommodate the population.

If this is unacceptable then alternative more complex arrangements involving the deletion of the Waitotara or Taupo electorates should be considered.

Objection 805 South Taranaki District Council, Hawera and Patea Community Boards

Objects to the proposed boundaries of King Country, Waitorara and New Plymouth electorates on the grounds King Country and Waitorara electorates relative to South Taranaki and Taranaki generally, fail to meet statutory considerations.

Suggested solution The establishment of two Taranaki electorates based upon the Regional Council boundaries would create compact electorates, centred upon a very strong community of interest, facilities and topographical features.

Objection 118 R Vakiari

Objects to the exclusion of Gannet Island in the proposed King Country electorate on the grounds that Gannet Island (Karewa) was included in the Rohe Potae area at a Court sitting on 2 August 1886.

The following persons or organisations object to their inclusion in the proposed King Country Electorate by way of a cyclostyled letter on the grounds of their community of interest being with New Plymouth and wish to be included in the New Plymouth Electorate.

Objection 290 D P Lilley & 25 other signatories**Objection 291 N J Hislop**

All commercial dealings and Government Offices are in New Plymouth

Objection 292 D P Obermuller

Objector lives on city boundary and shops in New Plymouth.

Objection 293 J A & M K Roylance**Objection 294 P J & M J O'Donnell****Objection 316 G F J Bourke**

Objects to the division of the Taranaki region and its attachment to areas which are alien to it on the grounds that there has been a failure to achieve the criteria of community of interest, facilities of communications, and topographical features.

Suggested solutions are: The creation of electorates of Taranaki and Wanganui, effacement of Taupo and Waitotara electorates and a redrawing of the King Country and Rangitikei boundaries. In

reference to the redrawn King Country to lose areas to Taranaki and Wanganui, and take in the greater part of the Taupo electorate. The creation of a Taranaki electorate would be the outer boundaries of the New Plymouth, Stratford and South Taranaki District Councils roughly following a line from the Mohakatino River in the north to the coast in the south, south of the Wainui Beach. If necessary to meet population criteria extend the boundary in a north-easterly direction to include the area serviced by Highway 43.

Objection 317 Inglewood Community Board

Objects to the inclusion of the entire Inglewood Ward in the King Country electorate.

Suggested solution To include the Inglewood Ward as determined at the time of Local Government Reform in the New Plymouth electorate on the grounds that a District community of interest can be identified.

Objection 318 Inglewood Community Board

Objects to the proposed name of King Country.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate Taranaki/King Country to give recognition to the community of interest provided by the Taranaki Province.

Objection 414 Q H Cassie

Similar to Objection 319 (30) New Plymouth.

Objection 21 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of King Country on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Mokau because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 415 A F Groot

Objects to not being included in New Plymouth but does not give a location or solution.

Objection 319 Okato Community Committee

Objects to the inclusion of the Okato Ward area as a whole in the proposed King Country electorate.

Suggested solution The area should be included in the New Plymouth electorate on the grounds that the area west of New Plymouth is not considered to be part of the King Country community of interest and the geographical aspect of the area proposed for the King Country electorate makes it unsuitable for inclusion as one electorate.

Objection 588 J Murphy & 91 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of an area west of Hawera in the proposed King Country electorate on the grounds that the community of interest is with Hawera.

Suggested solution To include the area in the proposed Waitotara electorate. The boundary which would be a more natural one would be from an unnamed stream near Horato Road to Horato Road along South Road to the Waingongoro River up the river to Mawhitiwhiti Road. Down the middle of Mawhitiwhiti Road to Katene Road, the middle of Katene Road to Ohangai Road, along the southern side of Ohangai Road to join the proposed boundary.

Objection 587 R Rangi and 94 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the Fraser Road District north of Hawera in the proposed King Country electorate on the grounds that the community of interest is Hawera and the district served by the Fraser Road School would be cut in two.

Suggested solution To include the area in the proposed Waitotara electorate. The boundary which would be a more natural one than the current one would be the Ngaronga Road, Tawhiti Stream, the southern side of Rotokare Road and then to the proposed boundary at the Ararata Stream.

Objection 586 R Hardwick-Smith & 34 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the districts of Mangamingi and Matemateonga in the proposed King Country electorate on the grounds that the natural community of interest is with Hawera.

Suggested solution To include the two areas in the Waitotara electorate. The new boundary be the southern side of Rotokare Road, Campbell Road, Mangawhero Road, Maata Road and then the boundary of the South Taranaki District Council.

Objection 591 J H Dudley & 33 other signatories

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of King Country on the grounds that the boundary at Pirongia splits a community of interest.

Suggested solution The boundary should continue south on the Waipa River and then south-east following the Puniu River to meet existing boundary King Country/Karapiro. This would include Pirongia and Mangapiko into Hamilton-East

Objection 592 New Zealand National Party New Plymouth Electorate

Objects to the proposed King Country and New Plymouth boundaries on the grounds of logic. The proposed boundary divides groups with strong community of interest.

Suggested solution New Plymouth electorate should include Inglewood and the area from the sea to Mount Egmont/Taranaki from Waitara to the Stony River; excluding Waitara if this is necessary to adjust the number of electors in the New Plymouth electorate.

30 New Plymouth**Objection 806 South Taranaki District Council, Hawera & Patea Community Boards**

Objects to the proposed boundaries of King Country, Waitotara and New Plymouth electorates.

See Objection 805 (29) King Country for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 593 New Zealand National Party New Plymouth Electorate

Objects to proposed New Plymouth and King Country boundaries on the grounds of logic and community of interests

See Objection 592 (29) King Country for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 22 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of New Plymouth on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Ngamotu because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

31 Waitotara**Objection 326 G F J Bourke**

See Objection 316 (29) King Country for general reasons.

Suggested solution Objector proposes an electorate divided between the Taranaki and Wanganui electorates. The suggested Wanganui electorate would consist of the effaced Waitotara electorate not taken into Taranaki, part of the effaced Taupo electorate and perhaps part of Rangitikei electorate in the south.

Objection 807 South Taranaki District Council, Hawera and Patea Community Boards

Objects to the proposed boundaries of King Country, Waitotara and New Plymouth electorates.

See Objection 805 (29) King Country for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 808 New Plymouth, Stratford and South Taranaki District Councils and Taranaki Regional Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of King Country and Waitotara electorates.

See Objection 804 (29) King Country for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 06 W G Tolhurst

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara as Wanganui is the major city with 80% of the population of the new electorate. The Wanganui River and the whole of the Wanganui District Council are within the electorate. There has always been a Wanganui electorate. Waitotara is the geographical centre although it is insignificant in population.

Objection 02 E McLean

Similar to Objection 06.

Objection 595 M Page

Similar to first sentence of Objection 6.

Objection 03 Wanganui District Master Bricklayers' & Plasterers' Association

Similar to Objection 06

Objection 23 Wanganui Newspapers Limited

Similar to Objection 06. Having served the region for 138 years the Chronicle is the prime mass communication organisation and is adamant that the bulk of the region in population and geographical terms is accurately identified as Wanganui.

Objection 24 B P Lilburn

Similar to Objection 06. As an alternative the electorate could be given the correct Maori spelling and called Whanganui a name with very deep roots and great significance both from Maori and early Pakeha historic point of view.

Objection 25 M R Henderson

Similar to Objection 06. The Commission in naming the electorate failed to take three factors adequately into account. Community of interest - the township of Waitotara is one of a

substantial number of similarly sized settlements with no particular reason to be chosen as the electorate name. In previous times the principal facility for communication was river-borne transport of the Whanganui River. Wanganui airport is the only airfield with regular scheduled passenger services in the area. The Whanganui river is the only topographical feature of national importance and recognition.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Whanganui or if that is not acceptable Wanganui.

Objection 60 G M Ball

Similar to Objection 06.

Objection 61 E F Saviker

Similar to Objection 06.

Objection 62 L & L Richdale

Similar to Objection 06.

Objection 67 M S Blakely

Similar to Objection 06. Suggests Whanganui as name.

Objection 68 J A Pratt

Similar to first two sentences of Objection 06. Waitotara is the name of a very small rural community.

Objection 69 D C Pratt

Similar to Objection 68. The name Aotea would also be appropriate as it is the name of the Maori canoe which brought the first citizens to settle in the area.

Objection 131 L W Good

Similar to first two and last sentences of Objection 06. Members of Parliament represent the people of the electorate and not so much the land. It is therefore unsatisfactory to name an electorate simply according to the physical boundaries.

Objection 63 Wanganui Chronicle Newspaper Coupons signed by 2697 signatories

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara. The logical name is Wanganui, for population and historical reasons alone.

Objection 382 F, C & K Smith

Similar to Objection 63.

Objection 143 R A Jackson

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara which should be known as Whanganui as it encompasses much of the area associated with the Whanganui river and its catchment along with all of the Wanganui District and urban area. The bulk of the population of the new electorate is made up of people primarily associated with Wanganui. If Whanganui is not suitable then the electorate should be known as Wanganui. On grounds of sensitivity objector believes Whanganui is by far a more suitable title.

Objection 295 Petition B Hudson & 216 other signatories

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara Electorate should be known as Whanganui as 84% of the potential electors are living within the Wanganui District Council boundary. There has been a Wanganui Electorate continuously, without name change, for nearly 140 years. The former settlement of Waitotara and its name is no longer significant, with no Post Office or commercial establishments and in local government terms was absorbed in 1987 by the Wanganui Country.

Suggested solution Electorate should be named Whanganui.

Objection 416 Wanganui Chamber of Commerce

Similar to Objection 295.

Objection 297 B Quin

Similar to first sentence of Objection 06. Wanganui is also the business and social focus for the great majority of the region.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Wanganui or Whanganui.

Objection 298 Wanganui Branch - Federation of University Women (Inc.)

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara which should be known as Wanganui or Whanganui. Wanganui has been a European population centre for 153 years. It is vital that a community retains its unique identity as an electorate.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Wanganui or Whanganui.

Objection 296 Wanganui District Council

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara which is not a true identification of the electorate. Wanganui is the major business, recreational and main population base for the new electorate. The Whanganui River is the most significant and central geographic feature of the electorate. The river is culturally significant as providing a major recreational and tourist attraction and is the main feature of the Whanganui National Park. There has been a Wanganui Electorate since 1853. Waitotara is a very small rural settlement with no significant business operating from it.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Whanganui.

Objection 320 M J Parnell

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate Whanganui/South Taranaki on the grounds that 84% of the population reside within the Wanganui area. Historically Wanganui has always been an important cultural, communication and distribution centre. The Whanganui River is an important tourist attraction with Wanganui the gateway.

Objection 323 R J Parnell

Similar to Objection 320.

Suggested solution To call the electorate either Wanganui or Whanganui.

Objection 321 E A Smith

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara on the grounds that Wanganui is a city with very fine cultural, sporting and educational attributes.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Wanganui.

Objection 322 E Fairbridge

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara.

Suggested solution To call the electorate either Wanganui or Whanganui on the grounds that Wanganui has existed for some 152 years, it was the first settlement after the four main centres to be formed. Waitotara only embraces a very small area and would convey very little to the rest of New Zealand.

Objection 324 Petition J Pettis MP & 1342 other signatories

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara.

Suggested solution As the electorate includes the city of Wanganui plus Waverley, Patea and Hawera a more appropriate name which accurately represents the composition of the new electorate should be chosen.

Objection 325 M McKenzie

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Wanganui on the grounds of long term residency.

Objection 344 G S & S Simpson

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara. For population and historical reasons the electorate should be known as either Wanganui, Whanganui or Wanganui-Hawera.

Objection 345 H & R Eagle

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara. The logical name is Whanganui, for population and historical reasons alone.

Objection 381 M A Heinold

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara. Wanganui has a bigger population. The city and river are well known. Wanganui has a history dating back to 1840. Waitotara is just a very small country town.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Wanganui.

Objection 383 E R Powell

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara.

Suggested solution Electorate should be named Wanganui as Waitotara is a small rural district well outside the Wanganui urban and surrounding district area.

Objection 594 E van Reenen

Similar to Objection 6.

Suggested solution Call the electorate Whanganui or Wanganui

Objection 809 L Sowerby

Objects to the proposed name of Waitotara

Suggested solution To call the electorate Wanganui. No grounds given.

**Objection 810 New Zealand National Party Wanganui
Electorate**

Similar see Objection 6.

32 Rangitikei**Objection 327 G F J Bourke**

See Objection 316 (29) King Country for general reasons.

Suggested solution Objector proposes to give population to Wanganui in the south and receive from Taupo in the north.

Objection 596 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of Rangitikei, Bay of Plenty, East Cape, Napier, Takapau, Wairarapa, Taupo, and Rotorua electorates.

See Objection 612 for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 597 A Hall and 20 other signatories

Possible flow-on effect from changes in East Cape See Objection 603 (33) East Cape for general reasons.

Suggested solution No solution offered

Objection 26 R W & K W Harding

Objects to the exclusion of Kelvin Grove from the proposed Palmerston North electorate on the grounds the objectors are part of Palmerston North city.

Suggested solution Move Linton and Aokautere out of the electorate and Kelvin Grove into the electorate..

Objection 811 Manawatu District Council

Objects to the proposed name of Rangitikei.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Manawatu on the grounds that approximately half of the population reside within the Manawatu district territorial local government area, thereby having a greater affinity with the name Manawatu than with the name Rangitikei. The Palmerston North City area included within the proposed electorate would have a greater affinity with the name Manawatu than with the name Rangitikei.

33 East Cape**Objection 132 B D Riesterer**

Objects to the inclusion of Opotiki in the proposed East Cape electorate on the grounds that Opotiki people travel east to work not west to Gisborne. Sporting links are not with Gisborne and most travellers go via Whakatane not Gisborne, eg hospitals. All local Maori affiliation is with tribes other than Ngati Porou. Local Government recently reviewed the boundaries and made no change.

Suggested solution The dimensions of East Cape electorate without Opotiki District would be much easier on the MP. The addition of Opotiki District will not add greatly to the commitment of the Bay of Plenty MP.

Objection 119 B Paul & 2 other signatories

Similar to first three sentences of objection 132.

Suggested solution To include Opotiki with the proposed Bay of Plenty electorate.

Objection 194 M M Lambert

Objects to the inclusion of Opotiki in the proposed East Cape electorate on the grounds that the community of interest is bound by the range of hills dividing Opotiki from Gisborne.

Suggested solution To include the area from Traffords Hill-Waioeka Gorge in the Bay of Plenty electorate.

Objection 328 Gisborne District Council

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed East Cape electorate. Central Hawkes Bay has little affinity with the area, while the inclusion of Bayview in the electorate ignores totally any consideration of community of interest.

Suggested solution There is a community of interest that could form the basis of a large electorate. This extends from Ohiwa Harbour to about the Mohaka River. This can be achieved by using the minimum possible level of population. When the population of the electorate is reduced it should be reduced from the southern end to better reflect community of interest considerations. Ohiwa Harbour should remain fixed as the northern boundary.

Objection 346 S Russell

Objects to the inclusion of the Maraekakaho Ward of Hastings District within the proposed East Cape electorate.

Suggested solution Fernhill and, if necessary, Bridge Pa be included in the Napier electorate. The remainder of the ward be included in the Takapau electorate. This proposal will greatly

improve the community of interest that people south of the Ngaruroro River have while reducing the addition of Central Hawkes Bay to East Cape. The area of the Ngaruroro River also makes an excellent physical boundary.

Objection 417 Whakatane & Opotiki District Councils & 1289 other signatories

Objects to the Opotiki district being placed in the East Cape Electorate.

Suggested solution Requests that Opotiki be included in the Bay of Plenty Electorate. The Commission give more balanced weight to those matters it is required to give due consideration and acknowledge the strong communities of interest with the wider Bay of Plenty and very limited community of interest that exists with Gisborne and even less with Hawkes Bay.

Acknowledge: the strong facilities of communication westward to the wider Bay of Plenty; the topographical feature of the mountain ranges between Opotiki district and the balance of the proposed East Cape Electorate; that White Island is part of the Bay of Plenty and should be in that Electorate.

Objection 464 Opotiki Branch of Federated Farmers

Objects to the inclusion of Opotiki into proposed East Cape electorate on the grounds that socially, economically and geographically the Opotiki District has strong ties with the Bay of Plenty.

Suggested solution To include Opotiki in the Bay of Plenty electorate.

Objection 465 Napier City Council

See Objection 467 (34) Napier for general reasons.

Suggested solution The areas of Puketapu and Omahu be included in the Napier electorate. The areas of Takapau, Tikokino, Otane and Flemington of the proposed Takapau electorate be included in the East Cape electorate. That the Commission make an appropriate consequential adjustment to the north-western boundary of the proposed East Cape electorate.

Objection 27 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of East Cape on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Te Turanganui because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

Objection 240 Hawkes Bay Alliance

See Objection 816 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 241 Hawkes Bay Alliance

Objects to the proposed name of East Cape.

Suggested solution The electorate should be known as either Waikaremoana or Mohaka. The lake and National Park are significant features within the electorate while the Mohaka River is readily identified with the general region.

Objection 418 Whakatane & Opotiki District Councils and 1289 other signatories

Objects to the name of East Cape.

Suggested solution In order for electors to better identify with the geography it is recommended that the name be changed to Gisborne/Hawkes Bay.

Objection 600 Central Hawkes Bay District Council

See Objection 616 (35) Takapau for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 815 Hawke's Bay Chamber of Commerce (Inc.)

Objects to the proposed boundaries of East Cape, Napier and Takapau on the grounds of Heretaunga Plains in general and the twin cities of Hastings and Napier have been drafted on East/West separation of Hastings and Napier people instead of an innovative North/South division of the main centres of population. That the names of the Napier and Takapau electorates consequently change.

Suggested solution Depending on population criteria divide with a line. (a) following the railway line through Hastings and Napier and/or (b) using main thoroughfares from the south Railway Road, Karamu Road, Karamu Stream, Pakowhai Road, Motorway Kennedy Road, Emersion Street to the sea. This would answer other objections.

Flaxmere would then be linked with West Hastings; Havelock North with East Hastings; Taradale with West Napier; Clive and Haumoana with East Napier. Three names suggested. Hastings for one, Napier for one. Or Hawke's Bay East, Hawke's Bay West. Or Kaweka for the west, Kidnappers for the east. Similar thinking can be applied to the East Cape electorate as a consequence of these changes.

Objection 599 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of East Cape, Bay of Plenty, Napier, Takapau, Wairarapa, Rangitikei, Taupo, and Rotorua electorates.

See Objection 612 (34) Napier or general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 812 Eastern Bay of Plenty Alliance Electorate Committee

Objects to the inclusion of the Opotiki district in the proposed East Cape electorate, as this conflicts with that district's natural community of interest which is the Bay of Plenty. The Commission placed too great a weight on the boundary stability criterion relative to the weight placed on the community of interest criterion. The East Cape peninsula represents a homogeneous region. That view ignores the reality of a mountain range, the Raukumaras, forming a major divide leaving the communities to focus on their own side of the peninsula.

Suggested solution Create an East Coast electorate in which the electorate population is below the mean which would extend a little further south to take in the Tikokino Ward of the Central Hawkes Bay district. Include Opotiki district in the Bay of Plenty electorate. Draw the western boundary of the Bay of Plenty electorate slightly further east, using either the Papamoa hills as a natural topographic feature, or the Tauranga City/Western Bay of Plenty district line. The Pyes Pa area, to the south of Tauranga, could be added either to Tauranga or to Coromandel.

Objection 814 R Barker MP

See Objection 813 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 604-5 P K Phin

Similar to Objection 132.

Objection 602 P C Hindmarsh & 13 other signatories

Similar to Objection 132.

Suggested solution All that (small) part of Opotiki district between Ohiwa Harbour and the Nukuhou River bounded by Wainui Road be included in Bay of Plenty.

Objection 603 A Hall & 20 other signatories

Objects to the proposed boundaries of East Cape with a consequential effect on the following electorates: Bay of Plenty, Napier, Takapau, Rangitikei, Taupo, Rotorua. The geographical size of the East Cape electorate is too large and disadvantages the people who live in it. Objects strongly to the Commission's statement that the proposed boundaries *best meet the community of*

interest criteria of Hawke's Bay. To suggest that the people of Bay View will be better represented by East Cape than they will by Napier is with respect absurd.

Suggested solution To reduce the size the southern boundary should move north to share the northern boundary of the Hastings district. To compensate the area containing Murupara, Te Whaiti, Ruatahuna, Mingonui and Taneatua all of which share a strong community of interest with Opotiki, East Cape, Gisborne and Wairoa be included in the electorate.

Objection 880 Sir R & Lady Pettigrew

Objects to the placement of Bay View in the East Cape seat. Bay View is part of the Napier City Council area and have had all its civic social, political and environmental links with Napier.

Suggested solution Bay View should be placed in the Napier seat. This can be achieved within quota limitations and tolerance factors by the Representation Commission adopting 'Option C' as advanced by Michael Laws MP, and others. It has the advantage of keeping the Napier urban area intact given that Bay View shares no community of interest with the predominantly rural East Cape electorate.

Objection 509 B Pulford

Objects to proposed boundary of Takapau electorate.

Similar to objection (242) 34 Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 879 Napier Returned Services' Association

Objects to the Poraite and Bay View being included in East Cape electorate.

Suggested solution Poraite and Bay View should be in Napier electorate. Supports 'Option C' as advanced by Michael Laws MP.

Objection 878 Hawkes Bay Branch National Council of Women of New Zealand (Inc)

Objects to inclusion of Flaxmere in Napier electorate and the exclusion of parts of Napier City from Napier electorate on the grounds of community of interests.

Suggested solution Suburb of Flaxmere be included in Takapau electorate. Napier electorate include the whole of the Napier City Council authority area, including Poraite and Bay View and the districts of Puketapu, Moteo, Eskdale, Whirinaki and Clive.

Objection 466 A M Davies

Objects to Central Hawkes Bay people being separated from their centres of business etc, who link most with Waipukurau and Central Hawke's Bay District Council area at Waipawa and

Napier/Hastings.

Suggested solution None offered

Objection 598 A Church

Objects to the inclusion of Bay View and Poraite in the proposed East Cape electorate as well as the urban areas of Napier/Hastings on the grounds that both Bay View and Poraite are integral parts of Napier City and share socio-economic and community ties with Napier and do not have any current or historic relationship or affinity to Gisborne based East Cape

Suggested solution To include Bay View and Poraite in the proposed Napier electorate. The urban areas of both Napier and Hastings should be kept intact within the single electorates so as to best serve the interests of the communities affected. To also make other changes as proposed under 'Option C' by Michael Laws MP.

Objection 601 Hastings District Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of East Cape, Takapau and Napier electorates

See Objection 609 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 813 M Laws MP

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Napier, Takapau and East Cape electorates

See Objection 816 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

34 Napier

Objection 242 Hawkes Bay Alliance

Objects to the inclusion of Flaxmere in the proposed Napier electorate on the grounds that the area has a greater affinity with the city of Hastings than it does with Napier.

Suggested solution To include Flaxmere in the proposed Takapau electorate and make other changes as proposed under Option C by M Laws (see Objection 816).

Objection 419 Whakatane & Opotiki District Councils

See Objection 417 (33) East Cape for general reasons.

Suggested solution To meet the population requirements caused by transferring Opotiki district to the Bay of Plenty, population is required from the south of the East Cape Electorate. The solution is to transfer 1088 GEP from the Heretaunga Ward included in the

Napier electorate. This reduces the Napier quota variance from +4.5% down to +2.5%.

Objection 420 T Wright Electorate Chairperson Hawkes Bay National Party & R Price Electorate Chairperson Napier National Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries for the Napier electorate on the grounds that the proposed boundaries divide the Napier urban area and the Napier City Council district. The Hastings suburb of Flaxmere has no relationship whatsoever with Napier. That both Bay View and Poraite are excluded from Napier.

Suggested solution The Hastings suburb of Flaxmere be included in the Takapau/Hastings electorate; Poraite and Bay View be included in the Napier electorate; and the Napier electorate include all of the Napier City Council authority area plus the Clive ward of Hastings District Council plus Moteo, Puketapu, Eskdale and Whirinaki.

Objection 28 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Napier on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Ahuriri because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 243 Hawkes Bay Alliance

Objects to the proposed name of Napier.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Ahuriri on the grounds that the name has historical and cultural significance. Ahuriri was one of the first settled areas of the city.

Objection 421 T Wright Electorate Chairperson, Hawkes Bay National Party and R Price Electorate Chairperson, Napier National Party

Objects to the proposed name of Napier.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Hawkes Bay on the grounds that this would reflect more exactly the nature of the proposed seat based on Napier City but including the Clive Ward, Esk Valley and some rural Hawke's Bay.

Objection 467 Napier City Council

Objects to the exclusion of the settlements of Bay View, Poraiti, Eskdale and Whirinak on the grounds that they have a closer social and economic community of interest with Napier and that their inclusion would be practical, sensible, relatively easily understood

by voters, provide a good balance of urban and rural land uses and, generally, provide a sound basis for representing the City's interests at the National policy level. Also objects to the inclusion of the Flaxmere/Twyford area. The Flaxmere area has very little direct community of interest with Napier and is inextricably linked to the Hastings area in terms of employment, housing, and community/social policy.

Suggested solution The settlements of Bay View, Poraiti, Eskdale, Whirinaki, Pakowhai, Whakatu, Clive, Haumoana, Te Awanga, Puketapu and Omahu be included in the Napier electorate. western boundary of its proposed East Cape electorate in light of the Council's boundary proposal for the Napier and Takapau electorates.

Objection 872 M Tamati, General Manager, Kimi Ora Community School

Objects to the proposed boundaries between the Napier and Takapau electorates on the grounds that the proposed boundaries divide the Napier urban and the Napier City Council district; that the Hastings suburb of Flaxmere has no relationship whatsoever with Napier: and that a better alternative as proposed by M Laws MP exists.

Suggested solution That the Hastings suburbs of Flaxmere be included in the Takapau/Hastings electorate and the new Napier electorate include all the Napier City Council area plus the Clive Ward of Hastings District Council plus Motea, Puketapu, Eskdale and Whirinaki.

Objection 611 Central Hawkes Bay District Council

Supports the Commissions recommendations for Hawkes Bay and more particularly for the proposed Takapau electorate. See Objection 616 (35) Takapau for general reasons.

Suggested solution The suburb of Flaxmere being replaced in the proposed Napier electorate by Havelock North.

Objection 819 G D J Wellwood

Similar to Objection 242.

Objection 876 Flaxmere Development Trust

Similar to Objection 242.

Objection 877 Hawkes Bay Branch National Council of Women of New Zealand (Inc)

Similar to Objection 879 (33) East Cape

Objection 607 T Lynn and 1521 other signatories

Objects to Flaxmere being included in the Napier electorate.

Similar to Objection 242 (34) Napier

Objection 818 Hawke's Bay Chamber of Commerce (Inc).

Similar to Objection 815 (33) East Cape

Objection 608 B Pulford

Similar to Objection 242.

Objection 863 R Barker MP

Objects to the proposed boundaries of East Cape, Napier and Takapau.

See Objection 813 for suggested solution

Objection 610 A Hall & 20 other signatories

See Objection 603 (33) East Cape for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Suggested solution The area of Bay View be included in the proposed Napier electorate.

Objection 609 Hastings District Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Napier, Takapau and East Cape electorates on the grounds that it is considered of interest, long term community association, to permit the effective operation and representation within the electorate

Suggested solution 1) A new Hastings electorate, based on Hastings City, including Flaxmere and Twyford, encompassing the Heretaunga Plains and the Southern and Coastal portions of Hastings District. 2) A revised Napier electorate made up of Napier City, Waiohiki, Eskdale, Tongoio, Pakowhai the Chatham Islands and Bay View. 3) A revised East Cape electorate. This electorate will include Gisborne district, Wairoa District, Central Hawkes Bay District and portions of Hastings District. Opotiki district has been excluded and will need to be accommodated with the Bay of Plenty area.

Objection 606 A Church

Similar to Objection 420. The proposed boundaries will divide integral parts of Hastings and Napier overriding both geography and community of interests. The urban areas of Hastings/Napier being proposed for inclusion in the East Cape seat have no affinity with East Cape.

Suggested solution To include Flaxmere in the Takapau electorate and to include Bay View and Poraite in the Napier electorate. The urban areas of both Napier and Hastings should be kept intact within single electorates so as to best serve the interests of communities affected and make other changes as proposed under 'Option C' by Michael Laws MP.

Objection 817 M Laws MP

Objects to proposed name of Napier.

Suggested solution The name Hawkes Bay should be retained. Napier is not an adequate description of a seat that would include Flaxmere and Twyford. If the Takapau seat boundaries remain, Tukituki is proposed as a replacement name

Objection 612 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of Napier, East Cape, Bay of Plenty, Takapau, Wairarapa, Rangitikei, Taupo, and Rotorua electorates on the grounds that: (i) the East Cape electorate, in stretching from the Eastern Bay of Plenty to Southern Hawkes Bay is untenably and unnecessarily large. (ii) the inland areas of Whakatane District currently form part of the proposed coastal Bay of Plenty electorate, and of the Rotorua electorate and might more logically form part of a restructured East Cape electorate. (iii) the suburb of Flaxmere should be part of a Hastings oriented electorate. (iv) the Bay View area of Napier City should be part of the Napier electorate. (v) the rural area generally, but not exclusively, south of Waipukurau identifies more strongly with the Wairarapa region than the Hawkes Bay region. (vi) The Waiouru area of Ruapehu District should be united in the Taupo electorate.

Suggested solution The unification of Bay View (and surrounding communities) within a Napier based electorate.

Objection 816 M Laws MP

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Napier, Takapau and East Cape electorates.

Suggested solution An 'Option C' proposes re-drawing the electoral boundaries to retain the integrity of the Hastings and Napier urban areas. It splits the central Hawkes Bay areas in two - the eastern half, including the towns of Waipukurau and Waipawa, and the western half is placed in East Cape. 'Option C' was developed out of Working Party discussions with regional interest groups.

The Napier based electorate extends beyond Whirinaki in the north, around and including Eskdale, Puketapu, Moteo, Fernhill, Waikowhai, Clive, Whakatu and Te Awanga.

The Hastings based electorate follows that suggested for Napier in the North, around (and including) Flaxmere, Longlands, Pakipaki, Poukawa, Opapa, Pukehou, Waipawa, Waipukurau, Flemington and Mangarapa to the coast.

35 Takapau

Objection 422 Whakatane & Opotiki District Councils and 1289 other signatories

See Objection 417 (33) East Cape for general reasons.

Suggested solution The Tikikino Ward of the Central Hawkes Bay District Council and Takapau electorate be transferred to East Cape. The ward is northwest of Central Hawkes Bay and excludes the district's main urban settlements of Waipawa and Waipukurau.

Objection 424 T Wright Electorate Chairperson, Hawkes Bay National Party and R Price Electorate Chairperson, Napier National Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Takapau electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution The Hastings suburb of Flaxmere be placed in the Hastings/Takapau electorate; the eastern half of Central Hawke's Bay (represented by the Central Hawke's Bay District Council area) be placed in the Hastings/Takapau electorate and the western half be included in the East Cape electorate; and the Clive Ward of Hastings be included in the Napier electorate.

Objection 468 Napier City Council

See Objection 467 (34) Napier for general reasons.

Suggested solution To include the Flaxmere/Twyford area in the proposed electorate. The areas of Pakowhai, Whakatu, Clive, Haumoana and Te Awanga be included in the Napier electorate and the areas of Takapau, Tikokino, Otane and Flemington be included in the East Cape electorate. That the Commission make an appropriate consequential adjustment to the north-western boundary of the proposed East Cape electorate.

Objection 245 Hawkes Bay Alliance

Objects to the proposed name of Takapau.

Suggested solution To call the electorate either Heretaunga or Tukituki on the grounds that both names have a regional significance.

Objection 423 T Wright Electorate Chairperson, Hawkes Bay National Party and R Price Electorate Chairperson, Napier National Party

Objects to the proposed name of Takapau as this name in no way reflects either the boundaries proposed or the suggestions being promoted for a Hastings-based electorate.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Tukituki after the most prominent geographical feature of the electorate, namely the

Tukituku River which traverses the entire electorate and is steeped in both Maori and European history.

Objection 873 M Tamati, General Manager, Kimi Ora Community School

See Objection 872 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 616 Central Hawkes Bay District Council

Supports the Commission's recommendations for Hawkes Bay and more particularly for the proposed Takapau electorate. Council considers that the district should not be split between two large rural electorates, especially if a north/south line is used; community of interest in this district is with Hawkes Bay, not the Wairarapa; the Option C proposal the suburb of Flaxmere being replaced in the proposed Napier electorate, by Havelock North is acceptable. Flaxmere should not be included in the Takapau electorate. The Council strongly opposes this.

Objection 617 A Hall & 20 other signatories

See Objection 603 (33) East Cape for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Suggested solution None given

Objection 469 A M Davies

See Objection 242 (34) Napier for general reasons.

Suggested solution Flaxmere and Havelock North have links with Hastings City and Taradale, Meeanee and Bay View links with Napier.

Objection 874 Hawkes Bay Branch National Council of Women of New Zealand (Inc)

See Objection 878 (33) East Cape for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 862 G D J Wellwood

See Objection 242 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 822 Hawke's Bay Chamber of Commerce

See Objection 815 (33) East Cape for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 613 A Church

Objects to the proposed name of the Takapau electorate on the grounds that Takapau is a very small community to the south west of the electorate which does not accurately identify or define the electorate.

Suggested solution to call the electorate either Hastings or Hawkes Bay so as to more accurately define the electorate.

Objection 821 R Barker MP

See Objection 863 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 614 Hastings District Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Takapau, Napier and East Cape.

See Objection 609 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 618 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of Takapau, East Cape, Bay of Plenty, Napier, Wairarapa, Rangitekei, Taupo, and Rotorua electorates.

See Objection 612 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 820 M Laws MP

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Takapau, Napier and East Cape electorates. The proposed Takapau electorate splits the urban areas of Hastings and places the most vulnerable part of Hastings, Flaxmere, in the proposed Napier electorate. It places Hastings horticultural areas of Twyford in the Napier seat and the areas of Clive, Haumoana and Te Awanga in the Takapau seat. A better option exists, 'Option C'.

See Objection 816 (34) Napier for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 866 A Church

See Objection 606 (34) Napier and 598 (33) East Cape for general reasons and suggested solution

Objection 615 B Pulford

Objects to the name of the proposed Takapau under 'Option C' Michael Laws.

Suggested solution More appropriate name would be Heretaunga.

Objection 244 Hawkes Bay Alliance

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Takapau and Napier Electorates.

Suggested solution Support Objection 816 (34) Napier Option C.

Objection 884 B Pulford

Objects to the exclusion of the Flaxmere Council Ward from the Takapau electorate.

Suggested solution Supports Objections 816 (34) Napier Option C.

36 Wairarapa**Objection 266 Dannevirke Community Board**

Objects to the proposed name of Wairarapa.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Tararua. No grounds given.

Objection 470 S M Davies

Objects to the proposed name of Wairarapa.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Bush-Wairarapa on the grounds that 70 Mile Bush stretched from Takapau to Eketahuna. The term is familiar to New Zealanders through the Provincial Rugby team. The name includes both Maori and English words/names. Bush-Wairarapa includes all of the proposed electorate area.

Objection 471 Tararua District Council

Objects to the proposed name of the Wairarapa electorate.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Tararua on the grounds that the Tararua Ranges are a dominant feature of the electorate. All electors can identify with this landmark, whereas many do not have any community of interest with the Wairarapa.

Objection 619 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of the Wairarapa, East Cape, Napier, Takapau, Rangitikei, Taupo, Bay of Plenty and Rotorua electorates. See Objection 612 (34) Napier) for general reasons

Suggested solution The transfer of the rural area generally, but not exclusively, south of Waipukurau to the Wairarapa electorate.

37 Palmerston North**Objection 29 G Ormsby & L Campbell**

Objects to the proposed name of Palmerston North on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Papaioea because of

its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

38 Otaki

Objection 195 Horowhenua District Council

Objects to the proposed name of Otaki which should be known as Horowhenua as the name for many years determined an area associated with community services, sporting representation and promotional activities. It is felt that electors within the area would recognise their boundaries more readily, accept the community of interest and associate themselves more readily with the defined electorate.

Objection 472 R Lewis, Secretary, Shannon Progressive Association

Objects to the proposed name of Otaki on the grounds that the whole of the Horowhenua is included within the boundaries and would be a more appropriate name.

Objection 474 D M Forsythe

Objects to the proposed boundary of the Otaki electorate on the grounds that a portion of Paraparaumu and all of Raumati and Raumati South are separated from the balance of the Kapiti Coast district. The proposed division takes no account at all of the strong community of interest that binds all of the Kapiti region. There is no traditional link between Kapiti and Porirua.

Suggested solution The southern boundary of the Otaki electorate should be drawn at McKay's Railway Crossing with any necessary adjustments at the southern end of the Rangitikei electorate.

Objection 473 Otaki Ward Ratepayers Association

Objects to the splitting of Paraparaumu between the Otaki and Mana electorate.

Suggested solution The boundary of the Otaki electorate should be extended south to include all of Paraparaumu, Raumati, Raumati South and Paekakariki. This choice will allow for, and eventually reflect, the growth of the population in the Kapiti region.

Objection 620 E Cobb

Objects to proposed boundary of Otaki, with consequent effect on Rangitikei, Palmerston North and Mana, on the grounds of

community of interest and topographical features. The proposed split of Paraparaumu-Raumati Beach-Raumati South areas will rupture shared life interests. Two major topographical features of the area have been overlooked. The Manawatu River, in the north, and the outcroppings of the Paekakariki hill form natural boundaries. An anomaly occurs at Himatangi Beach.

Suggested solution The Manawatu River should become the northern boundary of the Otaki electorate. The southern boundary should be coincident with the Kapiti Coast District Council boundary. Irrespective of this being accepted, all of Himatangi should be in the Rangitikei electorate. Boundaries to the south should be 'rejigged' to accommodate these changes.

Objection 823 Kapiti Coast District Council

Objects to the proposed division of the District Area between the proposed Mana and Otaki Electorates. Changes are sought to have the Kapiti district and part of Horowhenua included within the one Electoral Area. The solution will have flow-on effect to the Taupo, Rangitikei, Onslow, Wellington and Rongotai electorates

Suggested solution. Include entire area of Kapiti Coast District with the Otaki Electorate. Rename Otaki Electorate as Kapiti Electorate. Remove part of Kairanga and Kerekere Wards from Otaki Electorate for inclusion in the Rangitikei Electorate. Remove Waiouru Ward from Rangitikei Electorate for inclusion in Taupo Electorate. Make subsequent adjustments to the Onslow, Wellington and Rongotai Electorates. These would include moving some of the Tawa/Linden area into the Mana Electorate and part of the Onslow Ward of Wellington City into the Onslow Electorate.

Objection 621 C Rudd

Objects to the proposed name of Otaki.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Horowhenua for Maori cultural, historic and tourist promotional reasons.

Objection 622 J E Aburn

Objects to the proposed boundary for the Otaki electorate. By establishing the boundary of Mana and Otaki electorates at Kapiti Road the Kapiti District has been split without regard for traditional links, community of interest or territorial boundaries.

Suggested solution Shift the southern boundary of the Otaki electorate south to a line approximately through 'McKay's Railway Crossing', thus restoring the residential areas of Raumati, Raumati Beach and Raumati South to the proposed Otaki Electorate.

39 Mana**Objection 165 N Leggett**

Objects to the inclusion of Paraparaumu instead of Tawa in the electorate on the grounds that Tawa is only two kilometres away from Porirua.

Suggested solution The boundaries stop at Pukerua Bay and finish at least at Tawa College or Redwood. One way of fitting things in population wise would be to extend Onslow up to the Hutt Valley and then bring the Rimutaka electorate across over the Akatarawas and to the Kapiti Coast.

Objection 329 R Shaw

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Mana electorate, on the grounds that the people north of Pukerua Bay have always been attached to northern centres.

Suggested solution The Mana electorate's northern boundary should be the same as the Porirua City boundary. The logical Mana electorate would be formed by grafting the Tawa Community Board area to Porirua City. This would produce an electorate of about the right size and satisfy the community of interest criteria.

Objection 330 (Name Indecipherable)

Objects to the inclusion of part of the Kapiti District in the proposed Mana electorate on the grounds that the boundary changes are unfair and unreasonable.

The following persons or organisations by way of a cyclostyled letter object to the inclusion of part of the Kapiti district in the proposed Mana electorate on the grounds that the Kapiti District should be kept as one community and the area has no traditional links with Porirua City.

Objection 269 P Reed

Objection 270 D Edwards

Objection 299 S McNeil

Objection 300 K T McNeil

Objection 625 E Milne

Objection 626 R Vince

Objection 627C & W Perkin

Objection 628J A Hoffman**Objection 629 S J Carter****Objection 633 M D & E T Webster****Objection 634 J E & J E M Cranshaw****Objection 164 N Leggett**

Objects to the proposed name which should be named Kapi-Mana as it represents the area in a better way.

Objection 826 D Wheeler

Similar to Objection 330.

Objection 635 J E Aburn & 39 other signatories

See Objection 622 (38) Otaki for general reasons and proposed solutions. Minor changes can be made to accommodate the alterations without significant disruptions to community interests or territorial boundaries.

Objection 824 Kapiti District Council

See Objection 823 (38) Otaki for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 636 I M & J & S Howell

Objects to the inclusion of part of the Kapiti District in the proposed Mana Electorate. Similar to Objection 269.

Objection 825 M E Aburn and 178 other signatories

Objects to splitting of District. No links with Porirua and splits community of interest.

Objection 630 K McNeil

Similar to Objection 825.

Objection 623 R J Vince & 124 other signatories

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Mana electorate on the grounds that the community of Paekakariki is part of the Kapiti Coast District Council area and geographically split from the Mana electorate, an area petitioners have nothing in common with.

Objection 624 J A Hickey

Objection and solution similar to 622 (38) Otaki

Objection 631 J E Daniel

Similar to Objection 622 (38) Otaki.

Suggested solution Draw the boundary between Paekakariki and Pukerua Bay.

Objection 632 D S Weston

Similar to Objection. 622 (38) Otaki.

40 Rimutaka**Objection 475 Belmont Ratepayers Improvement Association Inc.**

Objects to the proposed name of the Rimutaka electorate on the grounds that the electorate should be known by a name which is more common to everyday users of the area.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Heretaunga.

Objection 637 S McIntyre & P Jorgensen

Objects to proposed boundaries of Rimutaka, Pencarrow & Onslow on the grounds that it divides communities of interest in Naenae and Avalon.

Suggested solution Pencarrow electorate include Naenae North area bounded by Cambridge Terrace, Kowhai Street, Hay Street. Rimutaka Electorate include all Avalon area bounded by Harcourt Werry Drive, Fairway Drive, Mitchell Street, Oxford Terrace. Onslow Electorate be extended to Waterloo Road, Witako Street, Mitchell Street.

Objection 638 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundary of Rimutaka on the grounds that splitting Naenae represents the optimal use of criteria in respect of the Hutt Valley as a whole, but submits the use of Rata Street is unsatisfactory and unnecessary. The use in part of minor suburban streets should be avoided when defining the southern boundary of the Rimutaka electorate.

Suggested solution The northern arm of Naenae be united entirely within Rimutaka, by utilising Naenae Park, Naenae Road and Rata Road as an appropriate boundary.

41 Pencarrow**Objection 30 G Ormsby & L Campbell**

Objects to the proposed name of Pencarrow on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Wainuiomata because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 476 Belmont Ratepayers Improvement Association Inc.

Objects to the proposed name of Pencarrow on the grounds that one of the electorates should recognise the prominence and historical nature of the name Hutt. The electorate should be known by a name which is more common to everyday users of the area.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Lower Hutt or Hutt.

Objection 828 E A Gell

Objects to proposed boundaries of Pencarrow and Onslow on the grounds that it does not include Korokoro which is dependant on Petone. The name of Pencarrow is inappropriate.

Suggested solution Move Korokoro into Pencarrow with compensation elsewhere (unspecified). Change electorate name to Hutt South.

Objection 639 S McIntyre & P Jorgensen

Objects to the proposed boundary of Pencarrow on the grounds the Naenae community of interest is split right down the centre.

Suggested solution The Pencarrow electorate include Naenae North bounded by Cambridge Terrace, Kouhai and Hay Streets. To compensate, Rimutaka will include all of Avalon bounded by Harcourt-Werry and Fairway Drive, Mitchell Street and Oxford Terrace; and Onslow be extended to Waterloo Road, Witako Street, and Mitchell Street.

Objection 642 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Pencarrow, Wellington, Rongotai & Onslow electorates. See Objection 648 (43) Wellington for general reasons..

Suggested solution The boundary between Pencarrow and Onslow move north to Mill Street.

Objection 640 E A Gell

Objects to the proposed boundary of Pencarrow on the grounds that Korokoro should be added as it is dependant on Petone.

Suggested solution No solution offered.

Objection 641 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Pencarrow electorate. See General reasons Objection 638 (40) Rimutaka.

Suggested solution That Walters and Frederick Streets be utilised as

the southern Rimutaka boundary, with Naenae College transferring to Pencarrow, and High Street being the appropriate boundary between Onslow and Pencarrow.

42 Onslow

Objection 31 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Onslow on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Tawa because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 120 B J Arnold

Objects to the proposed name of Onslow and considers that a more appropriate name is Belmont. Three distinct population areas are covered in the proposed electorate, Western Hutt Valley, Tawa/Linden and Johnsonville/Newlands. The name Onslow is traditionally associated with the suburbs of Khandallah and Ngaio (and their environs) and since only 5% of the total population is in this traditional area the electorate name Onslow is inappropriate. There is also a possible confusion with the territorial local authority name, "Onslow".

Suggested solution Belmont is one of the largest peaks in the area and is situated in the middle of the three population centres of the electorate. Belmont also gives its name to a large regional park with access from both sides of the range and is in keeping with the custom of naming electorates that have several major population centres after a prominent and central geographical feature.

Objection 477 Belmont Ratepayers Improvement Association Inc.

Objects to the proposed name of Onslow on the grounds that the majority of the proposed electorate is within the boundaries of the original Belmont survey district and that Belmont Regional Park is also part of the area. The electorate should be known by a name which is more common to everyday users of the area.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Belmont.

Objection 829 Normandale Progressive Association Inc.

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Onslow electorate on the grounds that the Normandale area has been linked with the northern part of Wellington City with which it has no community of interest.

Suggested solution Normandale's community of interest would be

better served by designing an electorate that maintains the City of Lower Hutt as a whole entity.

Objection 643 R & W Sarten

Objects to the proposed electorate boundaries of the Onslow electorate on the grounds that residents of a particular section of Khandallah canvassed stated their community of interest lies with Wellington not Onslow. 283 questionnaires delivered. 107 returned. 91 favoured Wellington. 8 favoured Onslow. 8 No preference.

Suggested solution Proposed boundary be altered to incorporate area north and west of Box Hill in the Wellington electorate.

Objection 830 W G Mathew

Objects to the proposed name of Onslow on the grounds Onslow is historically and usually associated with Ngaio and Khandallah areas.

Suggested solution Name change to Ngauranga.

Objection 869 & 882 Normandale Progressive Association Inc.

Similar to Objection 477.

Objection 644 C P Gray

Objects to the proposed name of Onslow.

Suggested solution Call the electorate either Belmont, because the Belmont Park identifies a significant proportion of the new electorate, or Ngauranga because this could be seen as a central part of the new electorate.

Objection 646 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Onslow electorate. See General reasons Objection 638 (40) Rimutaka.

Suggested solution High Street being continued as the appropriate boundary between Onslow and Pencarrow.

Objection 647 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Onslow, Wellington, Rongotai and Pencarrow electorates. See General reasons Objection 648 (43) Wellington

Suggested solution the suburbs of Khandallah and Cashmere be reunited with the neighbouring northern suburbs in the Onslow electorate.

Objection 645 S McIntyre & P Jorgensen

See Objection 639 (41) Pencarrow for general reasons and suggested solutions.

43 Wellington**Objection 347 S Russell**

Objects to the division of the suburb of Brooklyn between the Wellington and Rongotai electorates.

Suggested solution To include all of the suburb of Brooklyn within the Wellington electorate on the grounds that Brooklyn is a long established community which has its own distinct character and has a clear physical separation from neighbouring areas to the north and east. The alteration proposed would result in a boundary which better reflects the community of interest in this area as well as being more consistent with physical features and lines of communication.

Objection 32 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Wellington on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Poneke because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 348 S Russell

Objects to the proposed name of Wellington

Suggests solution To call the electorate Wellington Central on the grounds that Wellington Cental is a historic name that locals have been proud of. The use of the name Wellington is likely to lead to confusion.

Objection 831 B Pool & M Thomas

Objects to proposed boundaries of Wellington and Onslow on the grounds the Khandallah area to the north west of Box Hill has been excluded. This splits an areas which is an integral part of the Khandallah community.

Suggested solution Include into the new Wellington electorate: Simla Crescent, Clark Street, Glentui Grove, Mamaku Grove, Woodmancote Road and Baroda Street and the corresponding portion of Box Hill. Include both the Khandallah Park and the Khandallah Reserve. Draw the boundary just to the north of Baroda Street.

Suggested solution Include into the new Wellington electorate: Simla Crescent, Clark Street, Glentui Grove, Mamaku Grove, Woodmancote Road and Baroda Street and the corresponding portion of Box Hill. Include both the Khandallah Park and the

Khandallah Reserve. Draw the boundary just to the north of Baroda Street.

Objection 648 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Wellington, Rongotai, Onslow and Pencarrow electorates on the grounds that the proposed boundaries fail overly to take account of longstanding communities of interest and unnecessarily arbitrarily divide or dislocate many suburbs in the Wellington area.

Suggested solution That the area to the north of Kingston bounded in the east by the Town Belt be transferred to Wellington. Mt Cook be transferred to the Wellington electorate.

44 Rongotai

Objection 174 P F Smith Mayor Chatham Islands County Council & 274 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the Chatham Islands in any electorate preferring to be able to approach Central Government directly.

Suggested solution The scenario preferred by the community is territorial status.

Objection 121 A S Harris

Objects to the inclusion of the Chatham Islands in the proposed Rongotai electorate.

Suggested solution The Chatham Islands should be kept in a Christchurch electorate. No grounds given.

Objection 651 K H Clarke and 9 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the Chatham Islands in the Rongotai electorate on the grounds that the community of interest and facility of communications and health links are with Napier. A poll conducted indicated a major preference for a shift from Lyttelton to Napier

Suggested solution The Chatham Islands be placed in the Napier electorate.

Objection 649 S Beatie & P Fenwick

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Rongotai and Wellington electorates which splits the suburb of Brooklyn between the electorates. Brooklyn is a homogeneous areas which identifies with the city not Kilbirnie/Miramar.

Suggested solution The whole of Brooklyn should be placed in the Wellington electorate.

Objection 654 M Bonner

Similar to Objection 649.

Objection 650 M Ward

Objects to the inclusion of the suburb of Mt Cook in the proposed Rongotai electorate on the grounds: Mt Cooks community of interest looks to the Aro Valley and south end of The Terrace and Willis Street and to Mt Victoria as its natural adjacent communities.

Suggested solution Mt Cook be included in the proposed Wellington electorate.

Objection 653 E Clements

Objects to the proposed boundaries between Wellington and Rongotai on the grounds of the criteria of community of interest, facilities of communications and topographical features.

Suggested solution Mornington should be part of the Wellington electorate.

Objection 833 Brooklyn Community Association Inc.

Objects to the proposed alteration, to the present Island Bay electorate, which splits Brooklyn between the two proposed new electorates of Wellington and Rongotai.

Suggested solution The whole suburb and associated districts should be included in one electoral district.

Objection 652 R F Brooks

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Rongotai electorate which runs from the Basin Reserve through Buckle Street, Taranaki Street, Webb Street, Brooklyn Broad and Washington Avenue on the grounds that the boundary fails to give due consideration to the community of interest, facilities of communications and topographical features that are shared by the community of Nairn, Thompson and Hankey streets, and, in turn, the communities of Brooklyn and Mount Cook. Nairn Street and Thompson Street in particular have no affinity with the Rongotai Electorate. This is also true for the communities of Brooklyn and Mount Cook.

Suggested solution These communities become part of the Wellington electorate. Should this result in the population quota being exceeded, adjustments should be made in Onslow.

Objection 827 H F Sipos

Objects to proposed boundary between Rongotai and Wellington electorates. The community of the suburb of Brooklyn is divided between two electorates.

Suggested solution The Commission may be able to put all of Brooklyn in Rongotai. It has much in common with the southern coastal suburbs of Island Bay, Kilbirnie, Hataitai, Lyall Bay,

Miramar and Seatoun, all of which are in Rongotai. In order to balance numbers transfer to Wellington from Rongotai the area at the bottom (northern side) of the Brooklyn Hill. This area is bounded by Taranaki Street, Webb Street, Brooklyn Road, Nairn Street and Hankey Street. This area has its community of interest in the Te Aro area which is in the Wellington electorate.

Objection 832 E F Prendeville

Objects to the inclusion of the Chatham Islands in the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution To include the Chatham Islands in the Napier electorate as their shipping, business and health links are with Napier. The rural and fishing community of the Chatham Islands has no community of interest with an urban electorate which does not have any role to play in the support of primary industry.

Objection 655 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Rongotai, Wellington, Onslow and Pencarrow electorates. See general reasons Objection 648 (43) Wellington.

Suggested solution The areas of Oriental Bay and Roseneath in the proposed Wellington electorate be transferred to the Rongotai electorate.

45 Nelson

Objection 33 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Nelson on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Whakatu because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 834 New Zealand National Party, Tasman Electorate

See Objection 838 (46) West Coast-Tasman for general reasons and solution.

Objection 835 G M Truman

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Nelson electorate.

Suggested solution The southern boundary should be shifted to include all of the Waimea Plains on the grounds that this area has more of a community of interest with Nelson than with West Coast-Tasman. If not achievable then at least the population south of Wakefield should be transferred to Nelson on community of interest grounds.

46 West Coast-Tasman**Objection 117 Tasman District Council**

Objects to the proposed boundaries for the West Coast/Tasman electorate on the grounds that there is very little, if any, community of interest between Motueka/Golden Bay and Westland. There are presently 3 major communication channels across the Southern Alps via the Lewis Pass, Haast Pass and Arthurs Pass and the air link is between Hokitika and Christchurch. There would also be difficulties in effective representation for the elected MP.

Suggested solution Horizontal slices of the South Island would on balance form better and more manageable electorates than the vertical slice proposed.

Objection 219 M G & A J Strong

Objects to the inclusion of the Upper Moutere area in the proposed West Coast-Tasman electorate. As a horticultural region the area should be included with Marlborough as the region has nothing in common with the West Coast.

Objection 332 D Freilich

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 272 C D Strong

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 273 M D Strong

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 385 N S Thawley

Similar to Objection 219.

Objection 384 C Hynd

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the West Coast-Tasman electorate on the grounds that the electorate is far too big too achieve effective representation.

Objection 271 L R Mathison

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 396 A Templeton

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 429 S Platt

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 430 M C Keine

Similar to Objection 384. The size of the area should be considered rather than the size of the population.

Objection 301 Motueka Community Board

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Motueka and its links to Nelson.

Objection 302 J Davidson

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 331 V R Jenkins

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 386 G Hindmarsh

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the West Coast-Tasman electorate. Tasman is more a part of the Nelson region than the West Coast. The two areas are separated geographically by the Kahurangi National Park. They are also separated socially, economically and politically. Tasman residents have virtually no dealings with the West Coast and vice versa.

Suggested solution The former Tasman electorate should be retained.

Objection 387 S Prattle

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 388 K J Lawson & 2 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the south branch of Pigeon Valley in the proposed West Coast-Tasman electorate on the grounds that the community of interest lies with Wakefield. All education, community organisations, shopping and rural services are provided in Wakefield, Richmond or Nelson. All Government services are based in Nelson. Many people in this area commute to work in the Wakefield, Richmond and Nelson areas.

Suggested solution The western boundary for the proposed Nelson electorate should be shifted to the top of Dovedale Hill thus ensuring that Pigeon Valley is included with the Wakefield community in an electorate that best serves its interests.

Objection 425 B S Petterson

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 428 D Meade

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 426 C H & F A Byrne

Similar to Objection 117 in regards to Golden Bay.

Objection 427 K D Brookes & K J Handley

Similar to Objection 117, particularly opposed to linking of Collingwood and Karamea as it may accentuate lobbying for a road link through the National Park.

Objection 431 L E & J Aspray

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 432 E Satherley

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 433 C A Hill

Objects to the proposed boundaries for the West Coast-Tasman electorate. The community of interest for people living south of Wakefield and in the South Branch of Pigeon Valley is to the Nelson area not the West Coast.

Suggested solution The whole of the Waimeas should be included in the Nelson electorate. The natural boundary is the Mountain Range in the east, Spooners Range in the south and the Dovedale Hill and Moutere Hill in the west.

Objection 434 J A T & P Hyatt

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 435 H Seifried

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 436 A M Seifried

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 437 J Weston

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 438 M A Heywood

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 439 A D Stark and 33 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the area south of Wakefield and north of Spooners Range in the proposed West Coast-Tasman electorate on the grounds that the community of interest lies with the Wakefield, Richmond or Nelson area. The natural boundary should be Spooners Range.

Suggested solution The southern boundary for the proposed Nelson electorate should be shifted to the top of Spooners Range.

Objection 478 & 656 Nelson Alliance Committee

Similar to Objection 439.

Objection 480 R J Appleton

Similar to Objection 439.

Objection 34 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of West Coast-Tasman on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Poutini because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

Objection 657 Hurunui District Council

Similar to Objection 117.

Suggested solution The confined State Highway 1 north of Kaikoura seen as an equal barrier with the State Highway 7 and State Highway 3 passes through the Alps. North Canterbury is confined to the north by the Inland Kaikoura Range. Population trends are not only projected but are actually increasing from Christchurch north making future boundary adjustments more easily assimilated in an east/west configuration.

Suggested solution An electorate for Nelson; an electorate for Marlborough Tasman; an electorate for Buller, Kaikoura, Hurunui, Waimakariri; an electorate for Grey, Westland, part of Selwyn and Ashburton.

Objection 673 C Truman

Similar reasons to Objection 117.

Suggested solution First choice split Nelson so as to create a Marlborough/Nelson Electorate and a Nelson/Tasman Electorate. Second choice leave Nelson alone and create a Pelorous Electorate consisting of Marlborough, south of Nelson around to Motueka and Golden Bay. Areas like Kaikoura and North Canterbury would be in electorates more in line areas of similar synergies. Some West Coast would come across the main divide into Canterbury and south west coast would go into Otago

Objection 674 Golden Bay Community Board

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 701 S du Fresne

Similar to Objection 117. Prefers that unique geographic nature of West Coast be recognised and that it be retained as a separate electorate. Irrespective of boundaries finally adopted, seeks at least the inclusion of Mapua/Ruby Bay settlement in the Nelson electorate.

Objection 670 V K Garden

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 669 P Garden

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 668 F H Beuke

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 667 P H Bersen

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 666 J Dew

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 681 P S Hyatt

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 680 J M Hyatt

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 678 (Name Indecipherable)

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 704 P S Hyatt & 41 other signatories

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its

links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 679 (Name Indecipherable)

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 702 M C Halstead

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 694 L Briars

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 693 G Bowtree

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 690 P Burnaby

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 687 D M Strong

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 686 M I John

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 683 G Dunbar

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 684 P D Bourke

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 682 R J Bensemman

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 703 (Name Indecipherable)

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 677 Moutere Womens Division of Federated Farmers

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 697 P & C Moore

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 696 E & D Cunningham

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 692 H E Ellis

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 665 H K Hyatt

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 664 R Quinney

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 662 J Bantree

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Upper Moutere and its links to Nelson. Seeks inclusion with Nelson.

Objection 661 A E Skillkorn

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 659 R V Moore

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 660 S J Irvine

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 699 A Barnett

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 698 P W Barnett

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 675 P S & P E Abrahamson

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 658 P C Moore

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 837 E A & T D Rowell

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Moutere and its links to Nelson.

Objection 700 J T Blois

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 688 K A Fry

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 685 P S Fry

Similar to Objection 384.

Objection 671 M King-Turner

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 672 P S Assaf

Similar to Objection 117 with emphasis on Moutere and its links to Nelson.

Objection 839 E Appleton-Maher and 86 other signatories

Similar to Objection 439.

Objection 836 New Zealand National Party, Tasman Electorate

Similar to Objection 838

Objection 689 B Moffitt, Vice Chairman, Tapawera District Community Council

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 691 J A Fitzgerald

Similar to Objection 117. Golden Bay should be included in the Nelson electorate.

Objection 695 Nelson Conservation Board

The Board believes that conservation interests will not be well served by joining the West Coast and Tasman. The people of Nelson and Tasman are far more inclined towards conservation. Combining Tasman with the West Coast would be to the detriment of the conservation interests of those Tasman people. Balance of reasons similar to Objection 117.

Suggested solution By incorporating the West Coast within three adjacent South Island seats, that is, by splitting the West Coast electorate to incorporate Buller with Tasman, making a second electoral link via the Lewis Pass to Canterbury, and a third either by Arthurs Pass to Canterbury or via the Haast Pass to Otago.

Objection 663 A J Wilson

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 676 G M Truman

Similar to Objection 117.

Objection 838 P H Malone

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the West Coast-Tasman electorate extending from the Haast to Farewell Spit on the grounds that many of the proposed electorates by virtue of their size, will have communities with little direct community of interest. There will also be difficulties will communications within the proposed electorate. The Southern Alps are no more significant a topographical feature than many other topographical features that abound in this area. It is however a topographical feature which has facilities through it.

Suggested solution That a Pelorus electorate be established across the top of the South Island that includes the communities of

Collingwood, Takaka, Motueka, Tapawera, St Arnaud, Blenheim and Picton. That a Lewis electorate be established that includes the communities of Karamea, Westport, Reefton, Hanmer Springs, Culverden, Kaikoura, Cheviot and Rangiora. That an Arthurs electorate be established that includes the communities of Hokitika, Greymouth, Arthurs Pass and all of the present Selwyn Electorate. An alternative option would be to keep the top of the south Pelorus electorate as suggested above, then keep the rest of the coast together and include it in an electorate that moves across the Southern Alps at Arthurs Pass, to join with the present Selwyn Electorate.

47 Kaikoura

Objection 333 G H & B J E Gillman

Objects to the inclusion of the community of Woodend in the proposed Kaikoura electorate on the grounds that Woodend is a communal part of the overall area centered on the township of Rangiora and to a lesser extent Kaiapoi.

Suggested solution To continue the proposed boundary along the Ashley River to the coastline, thus leaving Woodend in the Waimakariri electorate.

Objection 389 S F Allard

Objects to the inclusion of Woodend and Waikuku in the proposed Kaikoura electorate. The residents of Woodend and Waikuku pay rates and elect councillors to the Waimakariri District Council. Primary education is provided at Woodend and secondary education normally at Rangiora. Residents look to Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Christchurch for their employment, cultural, social, economic and recreational needs and activities. Maori living in the Waimakariri electorate who have not taken the Maori option would not wish to be separated in electoral terms from their cultural heritage at Kaiapohia Pa, from which Kaiapoi takes its name.

Suggested solution To include these two areas in the Waimakariri electorate on the grounds of community of interest. The southern boundary of the Kaikoura electorate to commence at the sea to the north of the Ashley River. Following the Ashley River west to the Ashley Gorge, then south along Ashley Gorge Road to take in Oxford. West along Island Road and Woodstock Road to include Gammans Creek, Coopers Creek and View Hill and then west to take in the Puketeraki and Dampier Ranges as already provided.

Objection 274 Marlborough Chamber of Commerce Inc.

Objects to the proposed name of Kaikoura on the grounds that the entire province of Marlborough is included in the new electorate. The name Kaikoura has little relevance for the total area, both in terms of population and productivity.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Marlborough.

Objection 481 A Russell & 13 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the Lees Valley community within the proposed Kaikoura electorate on the grounds that the service centres for this community are Oxford and Rangiora. The area is within the Waimakariri District Council area. The area is geographically isolated from areas to the north. The number of residents affected is very small.

Suggested solution The southern boundary of the Kaikoura electorate should follow from the Ashley Gorge to the top of Mt Thomas State Forest, north to the Okuku River, then west to the Puketeraki Range.

Objection 275 Marlborough District Council

Objects to the proposed name of Kaikoura on the grounds that the predominant portion of the population and land mass is within the Marlborough District. The name Marlborough has important national and international significance. Dispensing with the name would have a serious adverse effect on the region both socially and economically.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Marlborough.

Objection 705 The New Zealand Labour Party

Similar to Objection 389.

Suggested solution The northern boundary of the Waimakariri electorate to the north of Rangiora township follow the Ashley river to the coast. To compensate for this change the western boundary of the Waimakariri electorate north of the Waimakariri river move east transferring those areas encompassed by the Statistical Area Units named Ashley Gorge and Oxford to the Kaikoura electorate

Objection 707 J Smart

Objects to the proposed name Kaikoura.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Marlborough which is already well known internationally.

Objection 709 Blenheim Womens Club, Marlborough Electorate, National Party

Similar to Objection 707.

Objection 708 (Name Indecipherable)

Similar to Objection 707.

Suggested solution Second choice would be Clarence.

Objection 710 A McRobie

Similar to Objection 389 and 705.

Since the Waimakariri territorial authority District cannot be included in a single electorate it seems to make more sense to ensure that the urban and rural portion of the District are broadly within the same electorate.

Objection 706 D A Wood

Similar to Objection 482 (48) Waimakariri. Provides considerable detail on criteria.

Suggested solution To include the remainder of the Waimakariri district in the proposed Waimakariri electorate. To balance population figures an area in the south of the proposed Ilam electorate could be deleted. The boundary could be adjusted to follow Harewood Road.

Objection 711 New Zealand National Party, Rangiora Electorate

Objection 714 (48) Waimakariri for general reasons and suggested solution.

48 Waimakariri

Objection 482 Waimakariri District Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waimakariri electorate on the grounds that the townships of Woodend, Ashley, Sefton. and the balance of Waimakariri District are not included within the new electorate. Community of interest for these areas is with the main towns within the proposed Waimakariri electorate rather than with those in the Kaikoura electorate.

Suggested solution The northern boundaries of the Waimakariri electorate should be the present northern boundary of the Waimakariri District Council. Also suggest the Commission consider drawing boundaries on an east/west basis that would link the Waimakariri electorate with part of the West Coast.

Objection 483 Oxford Ward Advisory Group

Similar to Objection 481 (47) Kaikoura. Also suggests the inclusion of all Waimakariri district within the proposed electorate.

Objection 713 The New Zealand Labour Party

See Objection 705 (47) Kaikoura for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 712 D A Wood

See Objection 706 (47) Kaikoura for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 714 New Zealand National Party, Rangiora Electorate

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Waimakariri electorate on the grounds that; (a) Lees Valley should be included in the Waimakariri electorate on grounds of community of interest and communication facilities with Oxford. (b) The boundary to the north east of Rangiora township unnecessarily excludes portions of the township. (c) As much as practicable of the rural Rangiora/Woodend area should maintain its obvious community of interest links with Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Christchurch. (d) At the southern most boundary community of interest could be better met by including the small holdings of Harewood in the urban/rural mix of Waimakariri.

Suggested solution (a) Shift the boundary as it passes through the hills to the northwest of Ashley Gorge and swing it north along the top of the hills until it meets the Hurunui District Council boundary and then following the boundary west to the proposed Rakaia boundary. (b) Include meshblock No. 2448400 into the Waimakariri electorate. (c) Consider including meshblocks 2448500, 2446500 and 2446001 in the Waimakariri electorate. (d) Move southern boundary to Wairakei Road turning north along Farrington Avenue and then following Harewood Road east to Grants Road.

49 Ilam**Objection 35 G Ormsby & L Campbell**

Objects to the proposed name of Ilam on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Whare Wanaka because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 715 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Ilam, Mt Herbert, Addington, New Brighton, Christchurch and Rakaia electorates.

See Objection 864 (53) Mt Herbert for general reasons.

Suggested solution The remedy suggested produces a set of five (5) proposed electorates radiating from a centrally located Christchurch electorate. Note: SAU - refers to Statistical Area Unit]

From the proposed Ilam electorate the virtually all of SAU Strowan; SAU Merivale; that part of SAU Holmwood east of the northern main trunk railway line; SAU Riccarton and that part of SAU Riccarton West east of Straven Road. From the Rakaia electorate the area generally around Christchurch International Airport be placed in the Ilam electorate, utilising Pound and Jessons Roads. From the proposed Mt Herbert electorate all that area to the north of Main South Road (State Highway 1), be place in the Ilam electorate.

50 Christchurch

Objection 484 A O'Brien

Objects to the splitting of the suburbs of Avonside and North Linwood between the Christchurch and New Brighton electorates. On the grounds that the splitting of these suburbs divides two natural communities of interest.

Suggested solution That the eastern boundary between the Christchurch and New Brighton electorates should be Locksley Avenue - Kerrs Road - Buckleys Road - Aldwins Road thereby including all of the suburbs of Avonside and North Linwood in the proposed Christchurch electorate.

Objection 485 A O'Brien

See Objection 487 (52) Addington for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 36 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Christchurch on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Otautahi because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 43 M O Hely

Objects to the proposed name of Christchurch. Electorate should be known as Christchurch Central. No grounds given

Objection 246 S Russell

Objects to the proposed name of Christchurch.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate Christchurch Central on the grounds that Christchurch Central is a historic name that locals have been proud of. The use of the name Christchurch is likely to cause confusion.

Objection 716 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundary of the Christchurch, Mt Herbert, Ilam, Addington, New Brighton and Rakaia electorates. See Objection 864 (53) Mt Herbert for general reasons and suggested solution.

51 New Brighton

Objection 486 A O'Brien

See Objection 484(50) Christchurch for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 37 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of New Brighton on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Aranui because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

Objection 44 M O Hely

Objects to the proposed name of New Brighton which should be known as Avon. No grounds given.

Objection 334 C D & F A Stewart

Objects to the proposed name of New Brighton on the grounds that New Brighton is just one of many suburbs within the electorate.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Avon on the grounds that this name covers many suburbs not just New Brighton.

Objection 391 A J & H Campbell

Similar grounds to Objection 334.

Suggested solution To call the electorate either Christchurch East, Pegasus, Wetlands, Avon, Lower Avon, Riverland, Estuary.

Objection 392 W Robertson & C Whalley

Similar grounds to Objection 334.

Suggested solution To call the electorate either Pegasus or Riverland.

Objection 393 R W Larcombe

Similar grounds to Objection 334.

Suggested solution To call the electorate either Avon or Pegasus

Objection 718 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of New Brighton, Mount Herbert, Ilam, Addington, Christchurch and Rakaia. See Objection 864 (53) Mt Herbert for general reasons and suggested solution..

Objection 717 A McRobie

Objects to the proposed name of New Brighton.

Suggested solution Should be named Christchurch East or, alternatively, revert to the name Avon (since the lower reaches of that river flow through the electorate).

52 Addington

Objection 487 A O'Brien

Objects to the exclusion of the suburb of Charleston from the proposed Christchurch electorate on the grounds that the area of Charleston has a more natural affinity with the area in the Christchurch electorate than with those in the Addington electorate.

Suggested solution The south east boundary between the Christchurch and Addington electorates should be Moorhouse Avenue - Falsgrave Street - Railway Line east to Ensors Road, thereby including the suburb of Charleston in the proposed Christchurch electorate.

Objection 45 M O Hely

Objects to the proposed name of Addington. Electorate should be known as Sydenham. No grounds given.

Objection 841 J P Anderton MP

Objects to the proposed name of Addington.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as Sydenham for historical reasons. The name Addington does not convey any sense of community or area and that the change serves no valid purpose.

Objection 719 Urban Technology Consultants

Objects to the proposed boundary of Addington and Mt Herbert on

the grounds that the boundary should follow the Heathcote River, a much more positive boundary than roads.

Suggested solution That the boundary between these electorates follow the Heathcote River from its mouth to the headwaters at Wigram and Hornby should shift from Mt Herbert to Addington.

Objection 722 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Addington, Mt Herbert, Ilam, New Brighton, Christchurch and Rakaia electorates. See Objection 844 (53) Mt Herbert for general reasons and suggested solution..

Objection 720 A McRobie

If boundary changes suggested in Objection 721 and 732 (53) Mt Herbert are adopted then it is proposed that the westernmost of these two electorates be named Heathcote as the Heathcote River rises in the Hornby area and flows across the middle of the electorate before flowing along the edge of the Port Hills to the estuary. Alternatively, the name of Middleton, a geographic locality close to the centre of the proposed electorate, could be considered.

Objection 721 A McRobie

Objects to the proposed boundary of the Addington and Mt Herbert electorates. See Objection 732 (53) Mt Herbert for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 727 M Vernon

Objects to the inclusion of the Canterbury Agricultural and Pastoral Association grounds inside the proposed Addington electorate.

Suggested solution To include the area in the Mt Herbert electorate.

53 Mt Herbert

Objection 737 J G Rutherford

Objects to proposed boundaries of Mt Herbert and Addington on the grounds that Hornby has a different demographic aspect to the remainder of the electorate.

Suggested solution Put Hornby into Addington Electorate. The Heathcote River would provide a boundary around the foot of the hills and the peripheral small holding area on the south and east of the city and that such would be preferable to a part river and part street boundary using Ferry Road etc. By following the river in the general direction of Wigram additional areas of Hoon Hay would be taken in, which would approximately compensate for the loss of Hornby.

Objection 166 D M Gillanders and 4 other signatories

Objects to the proposed exclusion of Oaklands and Halswell which are village suburbs of Christchurch, well separated by rural land to the east, and by industrial land to the north. Both the suburbs of Oaklands and Halswell must remain part of an electorate that includes our hinterland, Banks Peninsula. This community of interest is fragmented by the proposed boundaries.

Suggested solution Oaklands and Halswell should be put into Mt Herbert, and Hornby into the Addington electorate.

Objection 336 M James and 17 other signatories

Similar to Objection 166.

Objection 335 J O & Z Gibson and 25 other signatories

Objects to the exclusion of Westmoreland from the proposed Mt Herbert electorate on the grounds that Westmoreland is the only hill suburb of Christchurch that has been excluded from the proposed Mt Herbert electorate. Westmoreland's community of interest is with Cashmere and its community of interest is being fragmented by the proposed boundaries.

Suggested solution To include Westmoreland within the Mt Herbert electorate.

Objection 38 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Herbert on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Akaroa because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 175 Banks Peninsula District Council

Objects to the proposed name of Mt Herbert which should be known as Banks Peninsula. The electorate is made up predominantly of the Banks Peninsula plus suburbs to the south and south east of Christchurch and several coastal suburbs outside of the Banks Peninsula District Council area. Mount Herbert is one geographic feature of Banks Peninsula and a more appropriate name for this electorate would be Banks Peninsula. Also objects to being referred to as a "coastal suburb". Lyttelton is a township.

Objection 739 Lyttelton Branch of the Alliance

Objects to exclusion of area unit 100, called Ferrymead under Mt Herbert electorate. This area has traditionally been part of the Lyttelton electorate and it has a stronger community of interest with the adjacent area south of Ferry Road than with the area north of Linwood Avenue.

Suggested solution Place area unit 100 in Mt Herbert electorate.
[Note: Area unit 100 is bounded by Ferry Road, Hargood Street, Linwood Avenue, Humphreys Drive and the Heathcote River.]

Objection 740 A K MacFarlane

Similar to Objection 166 (53). Also requests that Westmorland and Hillmorton be placed in Mt Herbert.

Objection 738 M H Trieu

Similar to Objection 335.

Objection 733 Urban Technology Consultants

See Objection 719 (52) Addington for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 732 A McRobie

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Addington and Mt Herbert electorates. These two proposed electorates are not the most appropriate configurations for the southern part of the Christchurch urban area because two substantial urban segments are separated by a third urban segment which forms part of another proposed electorate namely Addington.

Suggested solution It is possible to eliminate this separation and thus better meet compactness and community of interest criterion. Although substantial population numbers are involved a more appropriate division would be to include the area to the west of a line drawn from the junction of Candys Road and Halswell Road, generally south along Halswell Road and Tai Tapu Road to the proposed Mt Herbert/Rakaia boundary into Addington electorate. This would require Addington electorate to shed a similar population to Mt Herbert electorate. This could be achieved if Mt Herbert electorate was extended to include the suburbs of Sydenham, Somerfield, Beckenham and Waltham. The Christchurch City ward boundary between Wigram Ward on the west and Hagley and Heathcote Wards to the east be the north-western boundary of the proposed Mt Herbert electorate (ie Antigua, Strickland, Milton and Barrington Streets).

Objection 736 Woolston Community Association Inc.

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Mt Herbert electorate on the grounds that Ferry Road is not an appropriate boundary as it cuts the Woolston Community in two.

Suggested solution Linwood Avenue would be a far more suitable boundary.

Objection 725 J Fountain

Objects to the inclusion of Hornby, Islington, Hei Hei and

Broomfield in Mt Herbert electorate. The proposal ignores communities of interest.

Suggested solution Place Hornby, Islington, Hei Hei and Broomfield in Addington electorate.

Objection 864 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Mt Herbert, Ilam, Addington, New Brighton, Christchurch and Rakaia electorates on the grounds of (a) unsatisfactory placement of approximately 13000 people generally dwelling in the western suburbs of Hornby, Hei Hei, Islington, Wigram and Broomfield in the essentially eastern electorate of Mt Herbert. (b) no account taken of the existing community (outlined above) with people living in the suburbs of Sockburn, Russley and Avonhead. (c) current north-eastern boundary of the Mt Herbert electorate bisects Woolston. (d) no account taken of the community of interest of people dwelling in St Martins, Woolston and Opawa etc. with people of Waltham, North and West Woolston and Linwood in the Addington, Christchurch and New Brighton electorates. (e) proposed boundaries dislocate some suburbs from their most logical focus, particularly in or adjacent to Christchurch electorate. (f) Christchurch International Airport has been excluded from the Ilam electorate.

Suggested solution [Note SAU refers to Statistical Area Unit]

(i) From the proposed Addington electorate SAU Beckenham be placed in the Mt Herbert electorate. (ii) From the proposed Addington electorate the remainder of SAUs Ensors and Opawa and all of the SAU Waltham be placed in the Mt Herbert electorate. (iii) From the proposed Christchurch electorate SAU Phillipstown (west of Olliviers Road) be placed in the Mt Herbert electorate. (iv) From the proposed New Brighton electorate SAUs Woolston West and Ferrymead and those parts of SAUs East Linwood and Bromley bounded in the north by Butterfield Avenue and Leighleys Road be placed in the Mt Herbert electorate. From the proposed Christchurch electorate the remainder of SAUs North Linwood, Avonside and Burwood and all of SAU Dallington be placed in the proposed New Brighton electorate. From the proposed Addington electorate SAU Riccarton be placed in the Christchurch electorate (vi) From the proposed Ilam electorate the virtually all of SAU Stowan; SAU Merivale; that part of SAU Holmwood east of the northern main trunk railway line; SAU Riccarton and that part of SAU Riccarton West east of Straven Road. (vii) From the proposed Addington electorate SAU Riccarton be placed in the Christchurch electorate. (viii) From the Rakaia electorate the area generally around Christchurch International Airport be placed in the Ilam electorate, utilising Pound and Jessons Roads. (ix) From the proposed Mt Herbert electorate all that area to the north of Main South Road (State Highway 1), be placed in the Ilam electorate. (x)

From proposed Mt Herbert electorate all that area bounded in the south-west by the current proposed boundary, in the north by the Main South Road (State Highway 1), and in the south-east by Ellesmere, Tricies, Sabys and Candys Roads, be placed in the Addington electorate.

Objection 729 M Brownie & W Lancaster

Similar to Objections 166, 335 and 725.

Objection 726 L Galloway

Similar to Objection 335.

Objection 728 J Burney

See Objection 247 (54) Rakaia for general reasons and suggested solution. Also objects to name. Similar to Objection 175.

Objection 724 J Smith-Barrett

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Mt Herbert electorate to the extent that it by-passes hill suburbs such as Kennedys Bush and Westmoreland and includes Hornby, a suburb with no community of interest with the hill suburbs, or Banks Peninsula.

Suggested solution Shifting Hornby into Addington and putting the southwestern hill and small holdings areas south of the Heathcote River into Mt Herbert.

Objection 723 M G Clucas

Similar to Objection 166.

Objection 730 R Donald

Objects to the proposed boundary of the Mt Herbert electorate on the grounds that close communities are separated as the Hornby/Hei Hei areas have far more in common with Riccarton than with the nearest population concentrations in Mt Herbert of Taitapu or Cashmere.

Suggested solution The addition of area units in order of preference into Mt Herbert: Ferrymead, Opawa (west part), Ensors (west part), Waltham, Woolston West, Bromley, East Linwood and Westmorland. The deletion (in order of preference) of these area units from Mt Herbert: Broomfield, Hornby North, Hornby South, Wigram, Islington, Halswell West and Prebbleton.

Objection 734 New Zealand National Party, Selwyn Electorate

Objects to: (1) Proposed exclusion of Westmorland. Westmorland is the only Christchurch hill suburb that has not been included in Mt Herbert electorate. (2) Proposed exclusion of Halswell and Oaklands. The Halswell/Oaklands areas have traditionally been rural suburbs. There is a considerable area of rurally zoned land between Oaklands and greater Christchurch. (3) Proposed

inclusion of Broomfield, Hei Hei and Islington. It is widely acknowledged locally that the inclusion of Broomfield, Hei Hei and Islington cuts across established communities. (4) Proposed inclusion of Hornby. Hornby maintains strong links with Sockburn, Addington and Sydenham. (5) Proposed exclusion of Hoon Hay and Hillmorton. The suburbs of Hillmorton and Hon Hay are separated from greater Christchurch by the Heathcote River. The 7160 inhabitants therefore are detached by a topographical feature from the suburb immediately to the east. (6) Proposed partial inclusion of Opawa. The adoption of the Heathcote River as the electorate's northern boundary. (7) Proposed partial inclusion of Woolston. These people should naturally be included as part of the New Brighton electorate. (8) Proposed division and exclusion of the greater part of Motukarara. The proposed boundary splits the community of Motukarara. A boundary along Hudsons Road would maintain this community of interest.

Objection 735 New Zealand National Party, Selwyn Electorate

Objects to the name Mt Herbert.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Banks Peninsula.

54 Rakaia

Objection 247 M V & L Burney and 18 other signatories

Objects to the exclusion of Motukarara from the proposed Mt Herbert electorate on the grounds that the area around Motukarara has always been recognised as part of Banks Peninsula. Its community of interest will be fragmented by the proposed boundaries.

Suggested solution To move the proposed boundary south to Hudsons Road. This would allow the area surrounding Motukarara to be part of Banks Peninsula.

Objection 394 M H & I McCallan

Similar to Objection 247.

Objection 267 H R Murdoch

Objects to the inclusion of Motukarara in the proposed Rakaia electorate on the grounds that Motukarara has always been recognised as part of Banks Peninsula. Its community of interest will be fragmented by the proposed boundaries.

Suggested solution To move the proposed boundary south to Judson Road and allow the area surrounding Motukarara to be part of Mt Herbert electorate.

Objection 741 R Waters

Objects to the proposed boundary of Rakaia on the grounds that residents of Hudsons Road, Greenpark want the boundary put back down Hudsons Road, as these people consider themselves part of Banks Peninsula, not Rakaia.

Suggested solution. Shift south-western boundary of Mt Herbert to Hudsons Road.

Objection 742 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Rakaia electorate. See Objection 864 (53) Mt Herbert for general reasons and suggested solution.

55 Waitaki**Objection 196 Waimate District Council**

Objects to the exclusion of Geraldine in the Waitaki electorate on the grounds that Geraldine communities and community of interest is directed towards Timaru.

Objection 198 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed boundaries for the Waitaki electorate.

Suggested solution To incorporate the MacKenzie, Waimate, and Waitaki District Council areas (essentially the Waitaki River catchment) and any remaining areas to the north of the "Coastal Otago Electorate".

Objection 220 D R Parker

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitaki electorate.

Suggested solution The natural and suggested boundaries are the Waitaki River in the south and the Rangitata River in the north.

Objection 222 E Ludemann

Objects to the boundary between the proposed Otago and Waitaki electorates on the grounds the boundary between the Otago and Waitaki electorates ignores community of interest, facilities of communication and topographical features. In addition, Health, District and Regional boundaries are crossed. The proposed boundary separates the area to the west and north of Oamaru from its community of interest and has no geographical distinction. The area south of the Waitaki River has nothing in common with Timaru or north of the river.

Suggested solution To move the north boundary of Waitaki to include Geraldine; put the boundary between Waitaki and Otago on the Waitaki River; and making any residual adjustments necessary to Leith and/or St Kilda where Mosgiel, Outram or Waikouaiti, for example, are better linked to Dunedin.

Objection 488 Timaru District Council

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Waitaki electorate, in particular the exclusion of Geraldine. Geraldine's business, commercial, cultural and sporting links are with Timaru. It is not in the best interests of efficient Local Government that on the one hand Geraldine is part of the Timaru District and on the other its national political interests should be to the north. It is also highly undesirable that an electorate should be divided by a major river such as the Waitaki.

Suggested solution The southern boundary should be moved north to the Waitaki River and the northern boundary should be moved north to the Rangitata River.

Objection 489 Timaru District Council

Similar to Objection 197.

Objection 46 M O Hely

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki which should be known as Timaru or South Canterbury. No grounds given.

Objection 197 Waimate District Council

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki which should be known as South Canterbury as that is the recognised name of the area of land the new electorate covers.

Objection 221 E Ludemann

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki which should be known as either Timaru, South Canterbury, Aoraki or Aorangi. Reasons are similar to Objection 197.

Objection 228 D R Parker

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki which should be known as South Canterbury on the grounds of community of interest.

Objection 337 E A Rollinson

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki which should be known as South Canterbury as the majority of the new electorate have no identity with the name Waitaki.

Objection 395 D M & I M Brodie

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki on the grounds that a large electorate should not be named after one tiny district.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Aoraki as this would be a neutral name.

Objection 744 Federated Farmers North Otago

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Waitaki electorate on the grounds that the Waitaki Valley population has a natural therefore strong community of interest with Oamaru. It is their administrative, servicing, commercial, health and education centre.

Suggested solution North Otago is a geographical unit separated from other regions by major relief features i.e. rivers and mountains. It is important that it remain an entity for reasons of effective and efficient administration, preferably in the Otago Electorate.

Objection 842 G & H Hassell & A E D Dowall

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki on the grounds that Waitaki is only a small part of the land covered by the electorate. The Aoraki region incorporates almost all of the area. The dominant land feature of the electorate is Aoraki or Mt. Cook.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Aoraki.

Objection 843 Geraldine Community Board

Similar to Objection 197. Naming the electorate Waitaki will result in considerable confusion.

Objection 844 South Canterbury Federated Farmers

Similar to Objection 220.

Objection 847 South Canterbury Federated Farmers

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki on the grounds that Waitaki does not correctly describe the area covered by the proposed new electorate.

Suggested solution To call the electorate South Canterbury.

Objection 845 Herald Communications Ltd

Similar to Objection 196.

Suggested solution The Rangitata River should be the northern boundary and the southern boundary should be the Waitaki River.

Objection 743 A E Budd

See Objection 747 (56) Otago for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 745 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed electoral boundaries of Waitaki, Otago and Wallace. See Objection 748 (56) Otago for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 870 Waitaki District Council

Objects to the proposed name of Waitaki on the grounds that this name is inappropriate for an electorate which extends far to the north of the Waitaki River catchment.

Suggested solution The electorate should be known as either Aoraki or Aorangi as these names would be acceptable to the Council and to others in the area.

Objection 846 Waitaki District Council

See Objection 848 (56) Otago for general reasons and suggested solution.

56 Otago**Objection 70 A S Harris**

Objects to the inclusion of Tapanui, Kelso, Arthurton, Waipahi, Clinton, and Owaka in the proposed Wallace electorate.

Suggested solution These areas should be included in the Otago electorate and the boundary should follow the Otago-Southland provincial boundary down from about the Waipahi/Arthurton area down to the sea in the Catlins area because there is more community of interest with Otago and Dunedin.

Objection 199 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed boundaries for the Otago electorate.

Suggested solution Incorporate the entire Clutha and Central Otago District Council areas, and the Strath Taieri and Taieri Plains areas of Dunedin City. This reflects the catchment of the Clutha River below the Clyde Dam and the Taieri River. The boundary should extend into south Dunedin to meet quota needs.

Objection 338 Central Otago District Council

Objects to the exclusion of the Island Bank to Rae's Junction area from the proposed Otago electorate on the grounds that the community of interest of the area is to the new Otago electorate rather than Wallace.

Suggested solution To include all of the Island Bank & Rae's Junction area of Central Otago District within the Otago electorate.

Objection 200 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed name of Otago.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Clutha to reflect its community of interest.

Objection 223 E Ludemann

See Objection 222 (55) Waitaki for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 490 J Morrison

See Objection 479 (59) Wallace for general reasons and suggested solutions.

Objection 848 Waitaki District Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Otago and Waitaki electorates on the grounds that the Otago electorate should incorporate all of the current Waitaki District Council area.

Suggested solution The boundary between Otago and Waitaki electorates should be moved to the Waitaki River to coincide with that for the Waitaki District.

Objection 747 A E Budd

Objects to the boundary between the electorates of Otago and Waitaki which establishes a further critical boundary again slicing the community of interest identity of North Otago.

Suggested solution To make the Northern boundary of Otago the Waitaki River, Lake Benmore, Lake Ruataniwha, Ohau River and the north shoreline of Lake Ohau. This is the same northern boundary of Waitaki District Council.

Objection 849 Dunedin West LEC

Objects principally to the way in which the two Dunedin electorates are divided and the exclusion of North Taieri from the Dunedin electorates. This has a minor effect on the Otago electorate. See Objection 857 (58) St Kilda for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 746 Clutha District Council

Objects to the division of the Clutha District to include parts in both the Otago and Wallace electorates. The Clutha area has no community of interest with Southland and communication and topography issues are ignored. The focus being to Otago in all issues.

Suggested solution Too include the entire Clutha District in Otago and the Queenstown Lakes District or part thereof within the Wallace electorate.

Objection 748 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Otago, Waitaki and Wallace on the grounds that the proposed electoral boundary in the area to the immediate north of Oamaru unnecessarily severs the existing community of interest and facilities of communication with the townships and area immediately surrounding Oamaru.

Suggested solutions (a) that the area bounded by the current 1992 Waitaki/Otago electoral boundary, the Waitaki River and the East Coast to the north of Oamaru be transferred to the Otago electorate. (b) Consequential adjustments utilising the full extent of quota be made in the West and South Otago area to account for this inclusion (e.g. Raes Junction and Tuapeka Mouth areas).

57 Leith**Objection 72 A S Harris**

Objects to the exclusion of the top of the Leith Valley Road Summit and Northern Outlet Summit from proposed Leith electorate. No grounds given.

Objection 201 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Leith electorate.

Suggested solution To include the Otago peninsula, any remaining areas to the south and west of the revised Dunedin electorate and extend north along the coast until the population quota is met.

Objection 04 D Emslie

Objects to the proposed name of Leith. Leith has many other important suburbs and areas besides the Leith Stream Valley. The name does not sensibly characterise the area it covers.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Dunedin North.

Objection 39 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Leith on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland..

Suggested solution To call the electorate Otepoti because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 71 A S Harris

Objects to the proposed name of Leith. Electorate should be known as Flagstaff. No grounds given.

Objection 202 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed name of Leith.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Coastal Otago to reflect its community of interest.

Objection 224 A McDonald

Objects to the proposed name of Leith which should be known as Dunedin North as this name would be more clearly identifiable and understood by the general public at a local and national level.

Objection 248 J Hyde

Objects to the proposed name of Leith on the grounds that Leith is the name of one of the local authority wards. The name Dunedin should be incorporated in this new seat.

Objection 491 Rev Dr F W R Nichol

Similar to Objection 224.

Objection 852 Waitaki District Council

See Objection 848 (56) Otago for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 853 Dunedin City Council

Similar to Objection 224.

Objection 851 Dunedin City Council

Objects to the exclusion of Purakaunui, Osborne and Long Beach from the electorate on the grounds these areas are now in the Chalmers Ward of Dunedin City.

Suggested solution Include the named areas in Dunedin North (Leith).

Objection 754 L Weggery

Objects to the proposed name of the Leith electorate on the grounds that the name of the city should be included to avoid misunderstanding and to increase awareness and understanding of the new MMP seats.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Dunedin North.

Objection 850 Dunedin West LEC

See Objection 857 (58) St Kilda for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 752 B Calvert

Similar to Objection 224.

Objection 753 O & V Stokes

Similar to Objection 224.

Objection 751 G Smith

Objects to the proposed name of Leith on the grounds that the name Leith has no significant meaning within the new electorate except as a ward and is only a very small area of the new electorate.

Suggested solution To avoid confusion the electorate should be called Dunedin North.

Objection 749 J M Vivian

Similar to Objection 751

Objection 750 F E Clarke

Similar to Objection 248.

58 St Kilda**Objection 73 A S Harris**

Objects to the proposed boundary between St Kilda and Otago electorate.

Suggested solution There should be a straight tidy boundary line carrying on across the plains from the corner of Riccarton Road.

Objection 203 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the St Kilda electorate.

Suggested solution To incorporate as much of the Dunedin City urban area as possible.

Objection 05 D Emslie

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda which has many other important suburbs and areas besides St Kilda. The name does not sensibly characterise the area it covers.

Suggested solution Call the electorate Dunedin South.

Objection 40 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland..

Suggested solution To call the electorate Otakou because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 74 A S Harris

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda.

Suggested solution (a) To call the electorate Carncross after a late Speaker of the House of Representatives who lived at Mosgiel.

Objection 75 A S Harris

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda.

Suggested solution (b) To call the electorate Highcliff because it is an expanded electorate and needs a new name.

Objection 76 A S Harris

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda.

Suggested solution (c) To call the electorate Burnside as it is a greatly expanded area.

Objection 204 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Dunedin to reflect its community of interest.

Objection 225 A McDonald

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda which should be known as Dunedin South as this name would be more clearly identifiable and understood by the general public at a local and national level.

Objection 492 Rev Dr F W R Nichol

Similar to Objection 225

Objection 854 Waitaki District Council

See Objection 848 (56) Otago for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 857 Dunedin West LEC

Objects to the way the two Dunedin electorates are divided and excludes North Taieri. North Taieri's communication links and interests generally are linked to Mosgiel and Dunedin not Otago. In addition, topographically the Taieri Plain is adjacent to Dunedin and includes Mosgiel which is part of the proposed St Kilda electorate. The division of Dunedin made by the Commission is not appropriate in that communities with no links or common interests are put together, such as Mosgiel and Green Island with the Peninsula.

Suggested solution(s) Option 1 - To divide Dunedin roughly south

to north following Forbury Road, Cavesham Valley, through the town belt, Stuart Street, between Maori Hill and Wakari to the northern boundary. The eastern electorate includes the Peninsula while the Western electorate extends into the Taieri Plain. Option 2 - To create “core” and “suburban” Dunedin electorates. This boundary would run between the South Dunedin and Green Island Saddle Hill Wards, to Mornington Road, along the ridge following Elgin and Kenmure Roads and Highgate. Across the north of the city at the botanic gardens then to the Harbour.

Objection 756 L Weggery

Objects to the proposed name of St Kilda on the grounds that the name of the city should be included to avoid misunderstanding and to increase awareness and understanding of the new MMP seats.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Dunedin South.

Objection 755 O & V Stokes

Similar to Objection 225.

Objection 757 B Calvert

Similar to Objection 225.

Objection 856 Dunedin City Council

Similar to Objection 225. The suburb of St Kilda is only a very small part of the proposed electorate.

Objection 855 Dunedin City Council

Objects to the exclusion of Waldronville from the proposed boundaries of the St Kilda electorate.

Suggested solution To mention the township of Waldronville in the definition.

59 Wallace

Objection 41 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Wallace on the grounds set out in objection (07) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Murihiku because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

Objection 758 Clutha District Council

See Objection 746 (56) Otago for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 760 The New Zealand National Party

To call the electorate Murihiku because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate.

Objection 858 P Casey

Objects to the proposed name of the Wallace electorate on the grounds that the new electorate is made up of at least three current electorates. Wallace is a name that has had continuous use and referred to a county or electorate in the western parts of the south. As this new electorate is to include nearly all of Southland and West and South Otago then to call the electorate Wallace is entirely unsuitable.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate Murihiku, a name that is synonymous with the area and has both historical and current connotations. The Murihiku purchase in 1853 was for an area not dissimilar to the one proposed for the new electorate.

Objection 759 A McRobie

Objects to the proposed name of Wallace.

Suggested solution The enlargement of the Wallace electorate has reached the point where it would be more appropriate to call the electorate Southland.

Objection 205 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Wallace electorate.

Suggested solution To include the entire area of Southland Region (excluding the Invercargill electorate) plus Queenstown-Lakes District and western Central Otago District (west of Clyde Dam). Even though Queenstown-Lakes Districts is part of Otago Region, Queenstown's community of interest has traditionally been tied closer to Southland than Otago because of transportation connections. This linkage to the south is even more pronounced now with the advent of tourism in the area. In other words, the entire southern lakes area should be in the same electorate as Fiordland and Te Anau. Even though western Central Otago District would be separated from the west of its district, this area should be added to the electorate if the population is needed to meet the electorate quota. Transport links are such that the Cromwell area looks as much to Wanaka as Alexandra, Dunstan and the vineyards, and the Dunstan Mountains forms a natural barrier to communities of interest.

Objection 276 B Talboys

Objects to the inclusion of Balclutha in the proposed Wallace electorate on the grounds that there is no common interest between the people of the present Wallace electorate and Balclutha. Balclutha has its history and other ties with Dunedin.

Suggested solution To include the Queenstown area within Wallace. This area has traditional links with Wallace and to compensate move Balclutha to Otago..

Objection 479 J Morrison

Objects to the proposed boundary between Otago and the Wallace electorates. On the grounds that industrially, socially, geographically and politically Balclutha is recognised as part of Otago. Queenstown is part of the Southern Lakes and Fiordland Tourist industry. The two should be kept together as much as possible.

Suggested solution To include Queenstown within the Wallace electorate and to include Balclutha in the Otago electorate.

Objection 176 A Coutts Electorate Secretary, New Zealand National Party, Clutha Electorate.

Objects to the proposed name of Wallace. The area needs a completely new identity.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Southern.

Objection 206 P J Bosmajian

Objects to the proposed name of Wallace.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Southland to reflect its community of interest.

60 Invercargill

Objection 42 G Ormsby & L Campbell

Objects to the proposed name of Invercargill on the grounds set out in Objection 07 (01) Northland.

Suggested solution To call the electorate Rakiura because of its historical association with the area and its links with the tangata whenua of the electorate

Objection 761 B Stirling

Objects to the proposed name of Invercargill.

Suggested solution To call the new electorate Awarua as this name has been synonymous in the New Zealand political landscape as the southern most electorate in New Zealand.

61 Te Tai Tokerau**Objection 762 J Cameron**

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Te Tai Tokerau electorate on the grounds that the southern boundary does not truly reflect the descendants of the Tainui canoe.

Suggested solution That the Representation Commission would be best to describe the geographic make-up of the lands in New Zealand with records held by the Department of Survey & Land Information or the Offices of Lands and Deeds.

62 Te Tai Hauauru**Objection 763 The New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Te Tai Hauauru, Te Tai Rawhiti, and Te Puku O Te Whenua. See Objection 764 (63) Te Tai Rawhiti for general reasons.

Suggested solution That Te Tai Hauauru shift east to encompass the Coromandel area.

63 Te Tai Rawhiti**Objection 764 The New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Te Tai Rawhiti, Te Tai Hauauru and Te Puku O Te Whenua on the grounds that Tuwharetoa are separated from Arawa and Ngati Maru are separated from other Iwi of the Tainui Federation.

Suggested solution That Te Tai Rawhiti shift south to encompass the Taupo township area.

64 Te Puku O Te Whenua**Objection 77 A Waaka & 193 other signatories**

Similar to Objection 78.

Objection 494 Tararua District Council & Iwi of Tamaki Nui A Rua

See Objection 493 (65) Te Tai Tonga for general reasons and suggested solution.

Objection 765 The New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Te Puku O Te Whenua, Te

Tai Rawhiti and Te Tai Hauauru. See Objection 764 (63) Te Tai Rawhiti for general reasons.

Suggested solution That Te Puku O Te Whenua move north to encompass land around, but not including Te Kuiti.

Objection 859 Kapiti Coast District Council

Objects to the proposed boundary of the Te Puku O Te Whenua electorate. See Objection 860 (65) Te Tai Tonga for general reasons and suggested solution.

65 Te Tai Tonga

Objection 78 A Waaka & 193 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the Ngati Kahungunu Tribal area in both the proposed Te Tai Tonga and Te Puku O Te Whenua electorates on the grounds that the tribal area should be contained within one Maori electorate and not be divided.

Suggested solution

(a) The Ngati Kahungunu tribe should be contained in one electorate, "Te Puku O Te Whenua". To facilitate this, the southern boundary on the Hawkes Bay/Wairarapa side, should extend to Kaitoke.

(b) The loss of this number of electors to Southern Maori could be made up by taking in from the Waikanae River up to approximately Shannon.

Objection 860 Kapiti Coast District Council

Objects to the proposed boundaries of the Te Tai Tonga electorate on the grounds that the proposed boundary divides the Atiawa ki Whakarongotai of their traditional rohe. The suggestion that the Waikanae River provides an appropriate tribal boundary is not supported.

Suggested solution The boundary should more correctly follow the position that existed in 1840 by being moved north to Te Horo where the Mangaone Stream would be an appropriate boundary.

Objection 268 T Kamo & 10 other signatories

Objects to the inclusion of the Chatham Islands in the proposed Te Tai Tonga electorate.

Suggested solution To include the Chatham Islands in the Te Puku O Te Whenua electorate because the Maori voters on the Chatham Islands have voted in the Western Maori electorate because of the predominance of tribal links with that area. This custom should be maintained.

Objection 493 Tararua District Council & Iwi of Tamaki Nui A Rua

Objects to the southern boundary of the Te Tai Tonga electorate, on the grounds that it does not recognise the long established Maori area of Tamaki Nui A Rua, which has much significance in the eyes of local iwi.

Suggested solution The southern boundary on the east coast be shifted to the northern boundary of the Tararua District Council.

General**Objection 79 M O Hely**

Objects to the tolerance level of $\pm 5\%$ which is far too small and lead to the West Coast including Collingwood and Motueka. If 10% was the tolerance rule West Coast would be West Coast and Kaikoura would start on the other side of the Ashley River and not include Woodend or further north at the Waipara River. Other anomalies could be fixed by the 10% rule.

Suggested solution Politicians should be asked to review the tolerance level.

Objection 861 C Cullen

Objects to all of the electoral boundaries. With the use of the MMP voting system, the need for all electorates to have the same number of voters is not required. Representation in Parliament will largely be by overall party vote, not by constituency MPs. The main criteria for constituency seats should be: community of interest, facility of communication and topographical features.

Suggested solution Electorates should follow the Local Authority boundaries, and where possible should not cross Regional Council boundaries. This will consolidate the role of Local Government as well as using the community of interest focus already achieved.

Objection 766 J Cameron

The Commission has no authority to use Maori names for electorates so should set aside the use of Maori names and go back to English names for the proposed Maori electorates until there is a clear direction by Maori they want change. Further, the proposed boundaries do not reflect that nearly 1 in 4 persons stating they are Maori are located in the Auckland region. Therefore, the proposed Maori electoral boundaries should be redrawn to accommodate the existing growth patterns and projections for Maori in Auckland.

Objection 867 J H Grimson

Electorates should have numbers instead of names.

Objection 840 Electoral Reform Coalition

Raises issues regarding statutory criteria under the legislation.

